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1 Announcements

The upcoming SJDM annual conference will be held in Long Beach, California, November 21-24, 2014.

Late registration and welcome reception will take place the evening of Friday, November 21. Hotel reservations at the $179 (plus tax) Psychonomic convention rate are available here. Note that our meeting is at the Westin, and the Psychonomic meeting is at the Hyatt. Here is a draft of the program. The early registration fee (until Nov. 10) will be $225 for regular members, $260 for non-members, and $100 for student members. You may register, join or pay dues here or at the meeting. See the SJDM website for poster and talk guidelines.

Jon Baron (baron at psych.upenn.edu) writes:

I am proposing five changed in the bylaws. These need to be voted on at the Annual Meeting of members.

1. Add the journal editor and student representative to the Executive Board, ex officio. The Journal Editor has a parallel role to that of the Publication Committee Chair, who is on the Board already. The idea of a student representative was approved by the Board last year, and the board now has such a representative.

2. Change the definition of ”officer” so that it is not so arbitrary. The current definition includes some members of the Executive Board and not others. The proposed change would include all. This matters only in the subsequent articles concerning removal of officers and their terms.

3. Describe how the Journal Editor is appointed, as we do with other officers.

4. Change the nomination rules to limit nominations for Board from five to two, like the limit for nominations for President. The limit of two has been our practice for many years. It makes sense because only one new member of the Board is elected each year.

5. Simplify the procedure for changing dues, and its description. A simple majority of the Board will now be required, rather than 2/3. This is like all other business.
Details of the changes are shown here: http://www.sjdm.org/diff.pdf which is also linked from our main Web page: http://www.sjdm.org.

Amy Summerville (amy.summerville at miamioh.edu) writes:

With the annual meeting on the horizon, I’m hoping for your help in supporting our student members. Will you join your colleagues this year as a judge of the student poster competition? By helping to judge the student posters—which can be done completely apart from the actual poster sessions if you so choose—you are providing valuable feedback to our students and helping to ease the judging load for all judges (usually around 5-8 posters). As a token of appreciation, the Society will even buy you a drink at the evening poster session!

Students are required to submit their posters electronically before the meeting in Long Beach, which will allow judges to complete their evaluations any time during the week leading up to the meeting—such as on a long, boring plane flight. In fact, judges need not even attend the conference, but can do their judging from the comfort of their own homes!

I hope each of you will seriously consider helping out in this respect. Each additional volunteer really does make a big difference, and the more judges we have the more representative our contingent is likely to be of the society’s interests and values. Please don’t be complacent and defer to the same set of judges that graciously volunteers every year. Please reply to me off-list (amy.summerville at miamioh.edu) to contribute to this important service. Anyone at the level of postdoc or higher is welcome to judge, and co-authorship or other conflicts are not an excluding factor. Thanks in advance for your help, and see you in Long Beach!

Jon Leland (jleland at nsf.gov) writes:

The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) is pleased to announce that the Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) seeking proposals for 2015 Minerva Research Initiative awards has been released. Download the full announcement. (There may be amendments along the way – make sure you download the most current version). Minerva is a social science research program run through OSD,
with selection and execution of new awards conducted in partnership with the Office of Naval Research (who is hosting this year’s BAA), the Army Research Office, and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research. More program details at [http://minerva.dtic.mil](http://minerva.dtic.mil).

Miguel Fonseca (M.A.Fonseca at exeter.ac.uk) writes:

We are happy to announce the winner of the 2014 Exeter Prize for the best paper published in the previous calendar year in a peer-reviewed journal in the fields of Experimental Economics, Behavioural Economics and Decision Theory.

The winner is Tomasz Strzalecki for his paper “Temporal Resolution of Uncertainty and Recursive Models of Ambiguity Aversion” published in Econometrica. This paper elegantly brings together several important strands of behavioral literature that have each found important applications in finance and macroeconomics. Strzalecki shows that how we model ambiguity aversion has profound implications on economic agents’ preferences over the timing of the resolution of uncertainty. It is a paper that can convince other economists to use one model as opposed to another; few decision-theoretic papers are relevant in this way. The winning paper was selected by the panel of Glenn Harrison (Georgia State University), Itzhak Gilboa (Tel Aviv University) and Shmuel Zamir (Hebrew University and the University of Exeter). Tomasz will be visiting the University of Exeter in December 2014 to receive the award and give a public lecture. In addition, the University of Exeter Economics Department will organize a workshop themed to fit the topic of the prize-winning paper.

This year was exceptionally competitive with a large number of excellent nominations. In addition to the winner, this year’s shortlist was:

Joshua Seachris (jseachris at nd.edu) writes:

We are pleased to announce a $1.4 million funding initiative for new research in the social sciences on hope and optimism. We encourage proposals for research using a variety of methods from new and established scientists on these topics. We especially welcome applications from researchers in cognitive, developmental, personality, health and social psychology, as well as sociology. Interdisciplinary teams that include members from cognate areas – e.g. cognitive science, anthropology, nursing, and biology – are encouraged though not required. We invite requests for non-residential funding (between $50,000 and $250,000) for projects not to exceed two years in duration. For more information, including details on research questions, deadlines, eligibility requirements, and application instructions please visit the project website: hopeoptimism.org. Letter of Intent deadline: November 1, 2014. This funding initiative is part of Hope & Optimism: Conceptual and Empirical Investigations, a $4.5 million research initiative funded by a generous grant from The John Templeton Foundation, as well as by the University of Notre Dame and Cornell University. Contact: hope at nd.edu.

Joe Gladstone (jjgladstone88 at gmail.com) writes:

A new free-to-use webpage is available which lists commercial and government jobs aimed primarily at researchers in JDM and related fields.

www.be-recruit.com/jobs/

Roles currently advertised include:
UK Government (DWP) - Behavioural Insights Manager
Ideas 42 - Senior Associate
BE-Works - Senior Associate
Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) - Director, US Household Finance
You can add your email address on the webpage to be updated whenever new roles become advertised. If you would like your job added to the site, just send me through the details.

Jon Baron writes that the latest issue of Judgment and Decision Making, the journal of the Society, is available at http://journal.sjdm.org
2 Essay

I thought it would be a good idea to make a record of the Society’s membership in 2014 for posterity. To do so, I took a snapshot of the SJDM membership database and analyzed members’ departments as well as countries and states of origin. For those who want to recreate these plots or do further analysis, I’ve put anonymized data and scripts online here and here in Decision Science News posts. If you analyze the scripts, you’ll see that some counts are approximate.

Most members are in Psychology. If those in business schools who do not specify departments are distributed in the same proportions as the members that do, Marketing now outnumbers Management / Organizational Behavior. People I’ve talked to feel that this is a relatively recent phenomenon.
The US members outnumber those from the rest of the world by more than 2 to 1. Within the US, California, New York, and Pennsylvania lead.
A commenter on Decision Science News wrote “I just ran the analysis on SJDM/100,000 population and discovered that the hot beds are Arizona (2.44) and DC (1.86). Next closest were Pennsylvania (.81) and Iowa (.69). The rest varied between .03 (Arkansas) and Massachusetts (.69). In general, the South and parts of the West (Dakotas, MT, ID, WY, NV) were below average whereas the West Coast, most of the Midwest, and most of the Northeast were above.”
There are about 5 times more North American residents than Europeans, and 2.5 times more Europeans than Asians in the Society. Looking by country, we notice that some smaller countries really punch above their weight with decision research enthusiasm.

## 3 Conferences

Registration is now open for the 2014 SJDM conference, which will be held November 21-24 in Long Beach. Early-registration (through Nov. 10) is $225 for members, $260 for non-members, and $100 for students. Information on the conference can be found at www.sjdm.org – to register, visit www.sjdm.org/join.html.

A workshop on Social Norms and Institutions will take place May 10th-15th 2015 in Ascona,
Social norms and institutions have had a large impact on how people behave in daily life, on economic activity, on solving political conflicts, and on the welfare of nations. By definition “Institutions are the humanly devised constraints that structure political, economic and social interaction” (Douglas North). Property rights, democratic institutions, patent rights, auction rules, divorce laws, voting rules, financial market and environmental regulations serve as examples of institutions. ‘Perverse’ incentives leading to undesirable and inefficient outcomes for the society at large is an important topic in this research program, as sensible looking incentive mechanisms can lead to a variety of unintended consequences. Social norms and institutions govern all areas of society. Several authors have developed theories that propose that the character of institutions is a key factor in explaining why states prosper or fail.

Modern research in the field of norms and institutions relies on new theories and methods such as the concepts and theories of asymmetric information, signaling, social networks, classical and behavioral decision theory and game theory, psychological theories of motivation etc. Research is conducted by various methods: Case studies, survey studies, field experiments, lab experiments and computer simulation studies. Here are some examples of research questions:

How do social norms of cooperation emerge endogenously without third-party intervention?
What is the impact of social norms versus monetary incentives on human behavior?
Which type of institutional rules will promote and sustain cooperation in social dilemmas?
Which type of institutions will emerge to solve problems of trust, risk and asymmetric information?
How are social preferences relevant to explaining the efficient functioning and behavioral consequences of institutions?

Social Cognition Preconference at SPSP 2015, Thursday, February 26, 2015, Long Beach Convention Center, 8:30am-4:30pm
The Social Cognition Preconference, sponsored by the International Social Cognition Network (ISCON), spotlights emerging research that exemplify the social cognition approach to addressing psychological phenomena. This year, in addition to presenting and honoring the ISCON Best Paper Award and Early Career Award winners, we will have two sessions highlighting recent advances in motivated social cognition. The first session focuses on emerging research on self-regulation and goal pursuit; the second session focuses on recent work on social justice and social change.

The program consists of individual speakers, a poster session, informal papers, and data blitz talks. Please visit the preconference website for more information, including how to register and submit posters, informal papers, and data blitz talks

http://www.spsp.org/?page=Precon_SocialCog

Submission deadline is Dec. 1, 2014.

All are invited to participate in the 10th annual Judgment and Decision Making Preconference at the meeting of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology. The preconference will be held from 8:30am to 4:30pm on February 26th, 2015 in Long Beach, California. The preconference highlights the emerging nexus of social, personality, judgment, and decision making research.

The meeting features an exciting lineup of invited speakers:
Tom Gilovich, Cornell University
Deborah Small, University of Pennsylvania
Noah Goldstein, UCLA
Eesha Sharma, Dartmouth College
Kelly See, NYU
Sendhil Mullainathan, Harvard University
Kristina Olson, University of Washington

The preconference also features a poster session. To submit a poster for consideration, please send the title of your poster, all authors, a 200 word (max) abstract, and one figure or table of data to jdmspsppreconference at gmail.com. The deadline for submissions is December 1, 2014 at 11:59pm EST.
With generous support from the University of Chicago’s Center for Decision Research and the The Penn-CMU Roybal Center on Behavioral Economics and Health, the preconference will offer a limited number of competitive $200 travel reimbursements to current undergraduate or graduate students who are first author on an accepted poster. In addition to presenting the poster at the poster session, winners of the award will also have the opportunity to present a 10-minute "data blitz" talk during the preconference. To be considered for an award, please indicate that the first author is a student in your submission.

Registration is now open and will close when space is filled or February 19th, whichever comes first. For information regarding poster submissions, registration, and more, please visit:

http://www.jdmpreconference.org/

Organizers: Jeff Galak, Hal Hershfield, Danny Oppenheimer, and Anuj Shah

The 2nd Multidisciplinary Conference on Reinforcement Learning and Decision Making (RLDM 2015, www.rldm.org), June 7-10, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. Over the last few decades, reinforcement learning and decision making have been the focus of an incredible wealth of research spanning a wide variety of fields including psychology, artificial intelligence, machine learning, operations research, control theory, animal and human neuroscience, economics and ethology. Key to many developments in the field has been interdisciplinary sharing of ideas and findings. The goal of RLDM is to provide a platform for communication among all researchers interested in "learning and decision making over time to achieve a goal". The meeting is characterized by the multidisciplinarity of the presenters and attendees, with cross-disciplinary conversations and teaching and learning being central objectives along with the dissemination of novel theoretical and experimental results. The first meeting, RLDM2013, was a huge success, with over 300 attendees.

The second meeting will be single-track, and will consist of a mixture of invited and contributed talks, tutorials, and poster sessions. See below for a list of confirmed speakers. Submissions of 1-4 page extended abstracts describing original research, coming from any discipline or disciplines, describing empirical results from human, animal, robot or artificial agent experiments, and/or theoretical work, simulations and modeling will be peer-reviewed for quality and interdisciplinary communication. This is an abstract-based meeting, with no
published conference proceedings. As such, work that is intended for, or has been submitted
to, other conferences or journals is also welcome, provided that the intent of communication
to other disciplines is clear.

More information will be posted at www.rldm.org

Important dates:
Meeting: 7-10 June 2015 (tutorials on 7th, meeting proper 8-10th)
Submissions open: 15 December 2014
Submissions close: 7 February 2015, midnight EST
Notification of acceptance: 21 March, 2015 (foreigners needing to request visas can fast-track
poster acceptance by request to program chairs)
Early registration: 21 April 2015

To ensure that you receive future announcements about RLDM2015 please join our mailing
list at http://tinyurl.com/RLDMlist (you must log in to google to see the “join list”
button, and choose all emails in the options).

A Mental Simulation Preconference will be taking place the day before the SPSP conference
begins in Long Beach, CA.

In recent years, mental simulation has been identified as a critical psychological process -
one that allows us to connect our thoughts about what could have been (i.e., retrospection)
to our musings about what is yet to be (i.e., prospection), and underlies a multitude of
goal-related activities. Recent work has also indicated that mind-wandering - which involves
the generation of spontaneous cognitive thoughts and mental simulations - characterizes the
default mode network of the brain and is a boon for creativity and future planning.

The Mental Simulation preconference will feature the research of a veritable all-star team of
scholars who study aspects of mental simulation. Presentations will highlight cutting-edge
work on topics such as psychological distance (Sam Maglio; Elinor Amit), counterfactual
thinking and regret (Adam Galinsky; Neal Roese), mind-wandering (Jonathan Schooler),
and narrative transportation (Melanie Green). Linking all of these themes together will be
celebrated memory scholar Daniel Schachter, whose keynote address will focus on the role of
episodic memory in constructing mental (i.e., prospective) simulations of the future.
To register for the preconference, and/or to learn more about applying to present a blitz talk or a poster, please follow this link.

You are cordially invited to attend the inaugural Subjective Time and Mental Time Travel Preconference that will take place in Long Beach, California, on February 26, 2015 (just before the SPSP conference, Feb. 27-28). This pre-conference is unique, addressing important topics related to mental time travel and subjective time, and how these important human capacities influence psychological processes at the individual, interpersonal, group and intergroup levels. Regardless of whether we focus on the past (e.g., nostalgia) or look at the future (e.g., goals, decision making, affective forecasting), time remains subjective and social psychological factors can influence the distance that one mentally travels into the past or the future and its impact on our judgment and self-identity.

For this inaugural Subjective Time and Mental Time Travel Preconference, six leading world-renowned scholars will present their influential work as well as recent empirical findings that can inspire the next decade of research in Personality and Social Psychology. They are Constantine Sedikides (University of Southampton), Dan McAdams (Northwestern University), Yaacov Trope (New York University), Jeffrey Joireman (Washington State University), Eugene Caruso (University of Chicago), and Anne Wilson (Wilfrid Laurier University).

In order to register at a reduced price, please follow this procedure:
1) Sign up on the SPSP website: http://www.spsp.org/login.aspx
2) Register for the preconference by clicking on this link

The preconference will also have Poster and Blitz Talk sessions. So if you have missed the deadline for the SPSP main conference, you can still consider presenting your research at the inaugural Subjective Time and Mental Time Travel Preconference. You may also submit a second abstract or the abstract that will be presented as a poster at the main SPSP conference, so long as the content is relevant to the theme of this pre-conference. However, you may only be first author on one submission at the pre-conference. The Blitz Talks will be 5-minute long allowing for one question at the end. All submissions should represent empirical work that is complete at the time of submission and be relevant to the psychology of time, including for example: Mental Time Travel, Nostalgia/Autobiographical Memory, Counterfactual Thinking/Regret, Affective Forecasting/Prediction/Planning, Goals/Possible Selves/Implementation
Intentions, Subjective Time/Temporal Comparison, Time Perspective/Temporal Focus, Temporal Distance/Temporal Depth.

If you are interested in submitting for either a poster or data blitz talk, please send the following information to time2015 at uvic.ca:
- Title of submission
- The name, affiliation, highest degree earned, and year in PhD (if applicable) for the first author
- Co-authors’ name and affiliation (if applicable)
- A 150-word abstract describing your research question and hypothesis, the methods used (including sample size), a description of the research findings, and a brief statement of conclusions.
- Indication of whether you are primarily submitting for a poster or data blitz task. Due to the limited availability, if submitting for the data blitz, please indicate whether you would like to be considered for a poster presentation in the event of an unsuccessful submission
- Please note that, while the poster session is open to everyone, due to limited availability, the data blitz will only be open to advanced PhD students (at least 3rd year standing at the time of submission), postdocs, and faculty members.

Submission deadline: December 19th @ midnight (Pacific Time) Submitters will be notified of the decision by late December 2014. Please note that it is recommended that you register to the preconference as soon as possible, even before submitting your abstract. The preconference is limited to a small number of attendees. Facebook event link. Should you have questions about the pre-conference or want to be included on a mailing list in order to receive other information, please send an email to: time2015 at uvic.ca

The 15th biennial conference on Behavioral Decision Research in Management (BDRM) will be held at the Rotman School of Management, University of Toronto, on June 9-11, 2016. Please save the date! BDRM is the leading conference for behavioural research conducted in business schools. It brings together the best of behavioral research within, but not limited to, the areas of consumer behavior, organizational behavior, negotiation, managerial decision making, behavioral finance, experimental and behavioral economics, decision analysis, behavioral strategy, behavioral operations research, behavioral accounting, and medical and legal decision making. Previous meetings have been held at Cornell (1986), Texas (1988), Wharton (1990), Berkeley (1992), MIT (1994), Miami (1998), Arizona (2000), Chicago (2002),
4 Jobs

The Department of Psychology at Appalachian State University invites applications for a tenure-track nine-month assistant professor position in Social Psychology beginning August 15, 2015. A PhD in Psychology is required and we are particularly interested in applicants with expertise in Judgment and Decision Making. Candidates who are ABD will be considered, but the position requires completion of all doctoral requirements in Psychology by August 15, 2015.

Successful applicants will be expected to develop a productive research program, apply for extramural funding, and provide instruction and mentoring for undergraduate and graduate students. The department has a strong commitment to excellence in teaching and mentoring, and candidates will be expected to engage students in their research programs at both the undergraduate and graduate levels.

Appalachian State University is a member institution of the sixteen-campus University of North Carolina. Located in Boone, North Carolina, the university has approximately 17,500 students, primarily in bachelor’s and master’s programs in both liberal arts and applied fields. Appalachian has a traditional residential campus and a variety of distance education programs. The Department has 34 full-time doctoral level faculty members, approximately 1,000 undergraduate majors and 70 full-time graduate students in four master’s degree programs. Additional information about the Department of Psychology, the University, and the surrounding area is located on the Psychology web site at: http://www.psych.appstate.edu/

Applicants must send via email a complete application consisting of: curriculum vitae, statements of teaching and research interests, and electronic copy of graduate transcripts to Dr. Doug Waring, Social Search Committee Chair (waringda at appstate.edu). Additionally, three letters of recommendation must be emailed directly from the letter writers to Dr. Waring. Paper applications will not be accepted. The initial review of complete applications will begin November 1, 2014 and will continue until the position is filled.

The Division of Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences (BCS) at NSF is seeking a Program Director in the Science of Learning Activity. Details here.
The Psychology Department at Ohio University invites applications for a tenure-track position in the Social Judgment and Behavioral Decision Making (SJBDM) program. Announcement here.

Candidates with PhDs in social or cognitive psychology are invited to apply. We are especially interested in candidates whose research interests intersect with those of the faculty in SJBDM and/or with other experimental areas in our department (e.g., health psychology). The SJBDM program includes faculty in social and cognitive psychology, organizational behavior, and behavioral decision making. The successful candidate will be expected to maintain an active, high-quality, fundable research program. Candidates for this position should send a letter of application, curriculum vitae, three letters of recommendation, copies of recent publications, a statement of research interests, and, for candidates with teaching experience, evidence of teaching effectiveness to Mark Alicke, Chair, SJBDM Search Committee, Department of Psychology, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701-2979; 740-707-3345; alicke at ohio.edu. Review of complete applications will begin November 1, 2014.

The Department of Psychology at the University of Oklahoma invites applicants for a tenure-track position in decision sciences at the rank of assistant professor. The successful applicant must demonstrate a substantive fit with one of the graduate areas in the department, with a preference for those in the cognitive area. The successful candidate must be qualified to teach undergraduate and graduate courses in judgment/decision making, and have a commitment to excellence in teaching. A teaching load of two courses per semester is expected. A strong record of productivity is required and a program of research that is attractive to external funding agencies is a plus. Candidates must have a Ph.D. in Psychology by the time of the appointment. The University of Oklahoma is especially interested in candidates who can contribute to the diversity and inclusive excellence of the academic community through their research, teaching, and service.

Please submit a curriculum vitae, at least three letters of recommendation, reprints/preprints of published research, a statement of research interests, and a statement of teaching philosophy and interests. In both statements, applicants should indicate how their research and teaching will be integrated into one or more of the programs with which they propose to collaborate. All application materials should be submitted electronically using the OU Career web site. Questions about the position should be directed to Scott Gronlund (sgronlund at ou.edu). Applications will be reviewed as received and the position will remain open until filled.
Cognition/Perception, Department Of Psychology, University Of California, San Diego. The Psychology Department (http://psy.ucsd.edu/) within the Division of Social Sciences at UC, San Diego is committed to academic excellence and diversity within the faculty, staff and student body. The Department invites applications for a tenure track Assistant position in the areas including Cognition and Sensation/Perception. Candidates must have a Ph.D. and have a record of publishable research in any area of cognition or perception. The preferred candidate will have demonstrated strong leadership or a commitment to support diversity, equity, and inclusion in an academic setting. Salary: Salary is commensurate with qualifications and based on University of California pay scales. Closing Date: Review of applications will begin November 1, 2014 and will continue until the position is filled. To Apply: Candidates should submit a cover letter, curriculum vitae, research statement, teaching statement, reprints, names of three to five referees, and a personal statement that summarizes their past or potential contributions to diversity (see this link for further information) electronically via UCSD’s Academic Personnel On-Line RECRUIT at https://apol-recruit.ucsd.edu/apply/JPF00660. Please apply to the following job posting: Assistant Professor (10-851) - Cognition/Perception, Department of Psychology.

Open Positions in Marketing - The Graduate School of Business Administration at Bar-Ilan University. The Marketing department at the Graduate School of Business Administration, Bar-Ilan University, is currently undergoing an unprecedented period of growth and expansion. To facilitate this growth, we are inviting applications for tenured and tenure-track positions. We expect to hire several faculty members in the coming years in various ranks, with at least one of them in an early-career rank. We invite applications from all areas of research, including (but not limited to) quantitative/qualitative marketing research, consumer psychology, judgment and decision-making, neuro-marketing, and all other research fields pertaining to marketing. Successful candidates would be involved in developing the next era of the marketing department, as it seeks to establish a group of highly specialized faculty researchers.

Applicants must have a PhD degree in either marketing, business administration, psychology, economics, or related fields, and must demonstrate a strong record of or potential for research and publication in top-tier journals. Appointments will be effective from October 1st 2015.
but other dates may be negotiated. Review of applicants will begin immediately and will continue until the position is filled.

The Graduate School of Business Administration offers MBA and PhD degrees, and also runs Executive MBA and International MBA programs. The official teaching language of the university is Hebrew, though there are some opportunities for teaching courses in English. For more information please visit our web site at http://mba.biu.ac.il/en. To apply for this position, please submit a curriculum vita, a research statement, and contact details of 2-3 referees, to Dr. Eyal Pe’er, head of the marketing department, at eyal.peer at biu.ac.il. Informal inquires about the positions are also welcomed, and meetings may be scheduled for the upcoming SJDM conference in November. Bar-Ilan University is an equal opportunity employer and applications from all genders, sectors, nationalities, religions, etc. are encouraged.

Postdoctoral Fellow. Cognitive Modeling of Deductive and Explanatory Reasoning. Navy Center for Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence The Intelligent Systems Section at the Naval Research Laboratory seeks applications for a position of Postdoctoral Fellow in computational cognitive modeling. The postdoctoral fellow will work with Dr. Sunny Khemlani and Dr. Greg Trafton on computational models of deductive and explanatory reasoning.

The ideal candidate has (or will have) a Ph.D. in cognitive psychology, cognitive science, or computer science, with experience in higher level cognition, experimental design and data analysis, cognitive modeling, and a strong programming background (Lisp recommended). Experience in domains of reasoning (e.g., quantificational, sentential, spatio-temporal, causal, explanatory, and probabilistic reasoning) is highly desired. Postdocs will be hired through the NRC Research Associateship Program Only US citizenship or green card holders are eligible for the program.

The Intelligent Systems Section at the Navy Center for Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence is devoted to basic and applied research in human cognition. The lab is interdisciplinary and focuses on cognitive science, artificial intelligence, cognitive robotics, and human-robot interaction.

Applicants should send a letter of interest, curriculum vitae, relevant journal articles, and three reference letters. Review of complete applications will begin December 1st, 2014. For additional information, please contact Dr. Sunny Khemlani (sunny.khemlani at nrl.navy.mil) or Dr. Greg Trafton (greg.trafton at nrl.navy.mil).
The Department of Psychology at the University of Toronto Scarborough invites applications for a tenure-stream appointment in Social Psychology. The appointment will be at the rank of Assistant Professor and will begin on July 1, 2015 or shortly thereafter.

We encourage applications from candidates with an excellent research record in social psychology. The successful candidate must have a PhD in Psychology, or a closely related field, by the date of the appointment. He or she must also have an established record of excellent scholarly research and publications, and demonstrated commitment to and record of innovation in teaching. The successful candidate will be expected to build an externally funded vibrant research program and laboratory, to demonstrate excellence in teaching, and to undertake undergraduate and graduate research supervision and teaching. The successful candidate will also be expected to perform standard professional and administrative activities typical of a department and/or university. Salary will be commensurate with qualifications and experience.

The appointment is at the University of Toronto Scarborough, which is a research-intensive institution with an interdisciplinary commitment, a multicultural student body, and a modern campus. The University offers the opportunity to conduct research, teach, and live in one of the most diverse cities of the world. The successful candidate will be a member of the tri-campus Graduate Department of Psychology (http://www.psych.utoronto.ca).

All qualified candidates are invited to apply by visiting: this site. Applicants should submit a complete and current curriculum vita, a statement outlining current and future research interests, three recent publications, and a teaching portfolio. If you have questions about this position, please email psychology-applications at utsc.utoronto.ca. All applications must be submitted online.

Submission guidelines can be found at: http://uoft.me/how-to-apply We recommend combining attached documents into one or two files in PDF/MS Word format. Applicants should also arrange for three confidential letters of recommendation to be sent directly to: psychology-applications at utsc.utoronto.ca. Applications will be reviewed starting November 1, 2014, but applications will continue to be accepted and reviewed until the position is filled. For more information about the Department of Psychology, University of Toronto Scarborough please visit our home page: http://www.utsc.utoronto.ca/~psych/.
The department of Social and Decision Sciences (SDS) at Carnegie Mellon University is seeking applicants for a full-time, tenure track, position in behavioral economics, behavioral decision research, decision science, or mathematical psychology. We are open to candidates with interests in theory and/or applied work, including lab experiments, field research, big data, and neuroscience. Applicants must have an outstanding research record or potential and candidates with interdisciplinary interests are encouraged to apply. We are especially interested in junior level candidates, but are open to applications from individuals at all levels. The appointment is expected to begin July 1, 2015.

SDS is interdisciplinary, including psychologists, economists, political scientists, mathematicians, and historians. Several have joint appointments in other units, notably the Department of Engineering and Public Policy (in CMU’s engineering college) and the Heinz College of Public Policy and Information Systems. Current projects and faculty are described at the department’s website. SDS has particular strengths in behavioral decision research and behavioral economics, policy analysis, industrial organization, technological change, and social dynamics. Collaboration is a hallmark of the department and the university.

Applicants should upload a letter of application stating research interests and teaching areas, a curriculum vitae, one current research paper, and three letters of recommendation to: this site. Applications received by November 1 are assured full consideration.

Bilkent University invites applications for two positions in the Department of Psychology: chairperson and professor. We seek established scholars who apply a cognitive, interdisciplinary approach to developmental, social, I/O, or evolutionary psychology, or neuroscience or traditional content areas of cognition (e.g., learning, memory, perception).

Qualifications and Responsibilities: Applicants should have a PhD in psychology or related discipline, and should have an excellent publication record. A demonstrated ability to secure external research funding will be a strong advantage. Faculty members are expected to maintain productive research programs. Successful applicants will teach undergraduate and graduate courses and supervise PhD, Master’s, and senior thesis students.

These full-time appointments will begin in September 2015. Salary will be internationally competitive and commensurate with qualifications and experience.

Applicants should submit 1) a cover letter, 2) CV, 3) research statement, 4) teaching statement, and 5) contact information for 3 professional references to Ergin Atalar, ergin at
ee.bilkent.edu.tr, +90 312 2903272. Review of applications will commence on November 1, 2014 and continue until the position is filled.

Inquiries about the department and university should be directed to Associate Professor Michelle Adams, at michelle at bilkent.edu.tr or +90 312 290 1090.

The University of Cologne, Germany, invites applications for four temporary faculty positions (tenure track is possible) at the Assistant Professor (Junior Professor, W1) or Associate Professor (Professor, W2) level. The Faculty of Management, Economics, and Social Sciences at the University of Cologne is seeking candidates for its research cluster "Value Creation in a Changing Customer and Media Environment" in the following fields: Consumer Psychology and Behavior (W1), Marketing and Digital Environment (W1/W2), and Digital Transformation and Analytics (W1/W2). Application deadline is November 5, 2014. Further details can be found at this link.

The Department of Psychology and Neuroscience at Duke University seeks applications for a tenured Associate or Full Professor in the area of decision-making to serve as the Director of the Duke Center for Interdisciplinary Decision Sciences (D-CIDES). D-CIDES is a joint collaboration between the Duke Institute for Brain Sciences and Duke’s Social Science Research Institute. The mission of D-CIDES is to catalyze programs and research on biological, psychological, interpersonal, and socio-economic processes involved in decision-making (http://www.dibs.duke.edu/research/d-cides) The position involves responsibilities as the faculty director of D-CIDES, as well as undergraduate and graduate education and research in the Department of Psychology and Neuroscience.

The director of D-CIDES is responsible for the strategic leadership and operational management of the center’s programmatic initiatives that bridge multiple areas of inquiry in the social and natural sciences, economics, philosophy, ethics, mathematics, medicine, public policy, management, and marketing. The candidate will also have opportunities to participate in related cross-departmental programs, including the Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Brain Imaging and Analysis Center, Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Center for Science and Society, Center for Child and Family Policy, and Kenan Institute for Ethics.
The successful applicant will demonstrate a strong record of productive and creative research in the psychological or neuroscientific aspects of decision-making, as well as a commitment to promoting interdisciplinary collaborations and leading a vibrant and growing intellectual center. Candidates should submit a statement of research and teaching interests, a curriculum vita, three representative publications, and names of two references to http://www.academicjobsonline.org The job ID number is 4424. Questions regarding the position may be directed to the search committee co-chairs: Kevin LaBar (klabar at duke.edu) or Mark Leary (leary at duke.edu). Applications received by November 1, 2014 will be guaranteed full consideration.

The Center for Adaptive Rationality (ARC) at the Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany, under the direction of Prof. Dr. Ralph Hertwig, seeks applicants for a doctoral position (focus on individual and collective judgments and decision making). The position is available from January 2015 onwards, but later start dates are possible.

Candidates should be interested in studying the mechanisms underlying individual and collective judgments and decision making and should be interested in using behavioral and computational methods to study the processes of decision making. Prior experience with computational modeling is highly recommended.

The Center for Adaptive Rationality takes an interdisciplinary approach to cognitive science and decision making. The Max Planck Institute for Human Development http://www.mpib-berlin.mpg.de offers an excellent infrastructure including support staff and equipment for conducting experiments (e.g., behavioral laboratory, fMRI, EEG, TMS). It provides an international research environment, with English being the working language.

The predoctoral contract is for two years plus a one-year extension. Applications (consisting of a cover letter describing your research interests, a curriculum vitae, up to three reprints, and two letters of recommendation) should be sent as a single PDF file, with your name as the file name, to Monika Oppong (oppong at mpib-berlin.mpg.de; Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Lentzeallee 94, 14195 Berlin).

Applications must be submitted by November 1, 2014, to ensure consideration. However, review of applications will continue until the position is filled. For further inquiries about the position, please contact Stefan Herzog (herzog at mpib-berlin.mpg.de).
Faculty position in OPIM (Operations and Information Management) department at the Wharton School of The University of Pennsylvania. The official job ad is here. The deadline for applications is November 26th, 2014.

The University of Nebraska–Lincoln is recruiting a tenure-track Assistant Professor with fMRI expertise to begin August, 2015. Candidates must have a Ph.D. or equivalent in psychology or related field, record of achievement in scholarship, potential to contribute to teaching and engagement mission, and expertise in brain imaging with fMRI. We encourage potential applicants to explore the Department of Psychology http://psychology.unl.edu web page for more information. Successful candidates will also be an integral member of the Center for Brain, Biology and Behavior http://cb3.unl.edu. This interdisciplinary Center engages a broad spectrum of investigators, including a unique research collaboration with University Athletics on sports concussion and training, and is housed within a new 26,000 square foot building. The facility’s centerpiece is a new Skyra 3 Tesla Siemens scanner, as well as 12 high-density EEG/ERP, NIRS and TMS systems. The Skyra is integrated with a 256-electrode high-density EEG system and an eye tracker that enable simultaneous recordings. Lincoln, Nebraska is a vibrant community of approximately 260,000 that combines the cultural richness of a large university with the affordability of a Midwestern city. Review of applications will begin November 5, 2014 and continue until the position is filled. To be considered for the position, please go to http://employment.unl.edu requisition F_140161, and click on “Apply to this job” and complete the form. Applicants should also attach a letter of application, curriculum vitae, research and teaching statement, copy of representative publications, and contact information for three letters of reference. The University is committed to a pluralistic campus community, equal opportunity, work-life balance, and dual careers.

University of East Anglia. Professor / Reader in Psychology (2 posts) Formed in 2012, the School of Psychology is the first new School of Psychology in a research-intensive university for over 20 years. Through substantial investment the School has cutting edge research facilities, building on a thriving BPS-recognised psychology BSc undergraduate programme. In the next phase of a growth strategy, we seek two new senior appointments to complement
existing research strengths within the School. Priority will be given to candidates with a sustained record of scholarly output of international excellence, research income and research leadership (particularly those working in social psychology, developmental psychology or high-level cognition/perception). We also seek applicants who can demonstrate synergies with other schools/ faculties, commensurate with the multidisciplinary ethos at UEA, and the world-leading facilities the Norwich Research Park affords. These posts are available on an indefinite full-time basis from 1 May 2015 or as soon as possible thereafter. Applicants must have a PhD or equivalent qualification and be able to satisfy all other essential criteria for the role. Further information is available here. Closing date: 12 noon on 7 November 2014. REF: ATR1206

The **Department of Cognitive Sciences** at the University of California, Irvine (UCI) invites applications for a faculty position at the Associate or Full Professor level. We are especially interested in candidates who use mathematical, computational, or robotics approaches to study the neural basis of cognition in any of these areas: (1) vision, hearing, and attention; (2) memory and decision-making; (3) learning and development; (4) language. Applicants whose research relates to human behavior are preferred. A strong record of publications and extramural funding is essential. Exceptional candidates at the Assistant Professor level will also be considered.

The online application includes: A cover letter, CV, research and teaching statements, 3 recent publications, and contact information for 3-5 referees. Interested candidates can apply for the position at: [https://recruit.ap.uci.edu/apply/JPF02452](https://recruit.ap.uci.edu/apply/JPF02452) To ensure full consideration, please complete the application by November 15, 2014.

The Department of Marketing in the College of Business at Iowa State University is inviting applications for a senior faculty position in marketing. Candidates with demonstrated research excellence and teaching experience will be considered for the position.

The successful candidate will have a PhD in marketing or a closely related field. The candidate will be expected to publish in high impact and in top-tier marketing journals, and be able to teach at the undergraduate and graduate levels, as well as to guide PhD student research. In addition to these expectations, applicants for senior tenured positions must meet the university’s standards of outstanding research and teaching records appropriate for advanced positions. Salary will be competitive and commensurate with qualifications.
The College of Business (http://www.business.iastate.edu) is an AACSB-accredited, doctoral-granting program that ranks in the top 50 undergraduate and top 40 graduate programs among public universities. Its 80 tenure-track faculty serves an enrollment of over 4,000 students. Iowa State University is a land-grant university, classified as a Carnegie Foundation Doctoral/Research University-Extensive, a member of the Association of American Universities (AAU) representing 62 major research universities in the US and Canada, and is ranked by U.S. News and World Report as one of the top public universities in the nation. Over 34,000 students are enrolled, and served by over 6,100 faculty and staff (see www.iastate.edu). Ames, Iowa is a progressive community of 60,000, located approximately 30 minutes north of Des Moines (the capital and largest city in the state), and recently voted second best most livable small city in the nation (see http://www.amescvb.com)

We will begin the review of applications October 26 and applications will be accepted until the position is filled. Candidates are requested to submit their applications using our online system. Vacancy ID 400039

Any inquiries or nominations may be sent to: Samantha Cross, Search Committee Chair, Department of Marketing, College of Business, 2350 Gerdin Business Building, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-1350. Email: snncross at iastate.edu

Two three-year post-doc positions in behavioral economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Erasmus School of Economics, Department of Applied Economics.

The research group in behavioral economics is led by Professors Peter Wakker and Han Bleichrodt and currently consists of 15 researchers. Our primary topic of research concerns decisions under risk and uncertainty, with a central role for prospect theory. Other topics of research include intertemporal choice (hyperbolic discounting), social inequality, and experimental game theory. We do both theoretical and empirical work and much of our work is on the quantitative measurement of subjective decision attitudes, in the spirit of Lord Kelvin’s maxim “science is measurement.” We are part of the Erasmus School of Economics and affiliated with the Tinbergen and ERIM research institutes. According to the most recent Shanghai ranking, Erasmus University is the top university in economics and business in continental Europe and number 4 in Europe.

Both positions are for three years. One position involves a teaching load (including preparation and theses supervision) of about 40% of the time. The other position involves a reduced
teaching load (20%) and the management of our lab (20%). In both cases, the rest of the time (60%) should be dedicated to research activities.

We are especially interested in two profiles: (1) Applicants with experience in decision theory. Candidates who have shown the ability to combine theory with experimental/empirical work are especially encouraged to apply. (2) Applicants with experience in experimental work and survey research and who have done research on topics related to beliefs, ambiguity, life satisfaction or subjective well-being. Candidates from other disciplines will also be considered. Applicants should hold a Ph.D. in Economics, Psychology, Mathematics, or Management Sciences. Those who are close to completion of their Ph.D. are also invited to apply. One position starts in January 2015 at the latest. The other position may start at a later stage in 2015.

We offer a salary of 3,259 to 4,462 EUR gross per month (scale 11 of the Collective Labour Agreement for Dutch Universities), excluding 8% holiday pay and 8.3% end of year remuneration. More information on salary scales. The conditions of employment are in accordance with the Collective Labour Agreement for Dutch Universities (CAO NU). This entails a 38 hour working week and an extensive package of fringe benefits. The positions may entitle non-Dutch applicants to the 30% rule tax benefits for expats, as applied by the Dutch law. More information.

Candidates are requested to provide a CV including publication list, motivation letter, PhD thesis or a job market paper, and two letters of recommendation. Applications should be sent by email to Mrs. Manuela Ettekoven (te-officemanagement at ese.eur.nl) and should be received no later than November 1, 2014. Please state “Vacancy Behavioral Economics” in the subject of your e-mail. Further information about the vacancies can be obtained from Professor Han Bleichrodt (bleichrodt at ese.eur.nl). Information about the Department of Applied Economics.

Microsoft Research in New York City has two-year postdocs in Computational Social Science and related fields. Applicants should have proficiency in computer science, math, or statistics at the level of someone with a Master’s degree or PhD in those fields. Deadline is December 1, 2014.

Department of Psychology, University of Geneva. We are seeking applicants for two 3-
year PhD positions within the Department of Psychology. Both positions are embedded in research projects that investigate the psychological aspects of energy-related decisions with the aim of promoting energy efficiency and conservation (PI: Tobias Brosch).

Project 1–Emotions and cognitive biases: The objective of this interdisciplinary research project (funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation) is to investigate to what extent energy savings can be mobilized by different electricity tariff structures. Using a combination of online and laboratory experiments, the project will investigate affective (e.g., emotional responses) and cognitive factors (e.g., heuristics and biases) that influence energy-related decisions in general and tariff acceptance in particular. The successful applicant will be part of an interdisciplinary team consisting of researchers at different departments of the University of Geneva (Prof. David Sander, Swiss Center for Affective Sciences and Prof. Martin Patel, Institute of Environmental Sciences).

Project 2–Mental accounting mechanisms: People tend to organize acts of consumption and payments into mental categories, which can have a large impact on consumer decisions. Using a combination of online and laboratory experiments, this research project (funded by the Swiss Federal Office for Energy) investigates to what extent mental accounting mechanisms are relevant for energy-related decisions and how these mechanisms can be leveraged to promote energy conservation. The successful applicant will be part of an interdisciplinary team consisting of researchers at the University of Geneva (Prof. David Sander, Swiss Center for Affective Sciences and Prof. Martin Patel, Institute of Environmental Sciences) and the University of St. Gallen (Prof. Stefanie Hille and Prof. Rolf Wüstenhagen, Institute for Economy and the Environment).

Requirements: Applicants for both positions should have an excellent master’s degree in psychology, very good methodological and statistical skills as well as a strong interest in environmental topics and consumer behavior. Furthermore, applicants should have a strong dedication to a scientific career, the willingness to work in an interdisciplinary environment, excellent oral and written skills in English, and a good knowledge of French (or the willingness to learn French quickly).

Benefits: The successful candidates will profit from the rich interdisciplinary environment of the National Center of Competence in Research in Affective Sciences (www.affective-sciences.org) and the Competence Center for Research in Energy, Society and Transition (www.sccer-crest.ch). Salary will start at about CHF 47,000 (before taxes and social charges). Additional resources to fund conference travel
are available. Geneva is located between the Alps, the Jura, and Lake Geneva, and is noted for its international character and high quality of life.

Applications: Interested applicants are asked to send a cover letter outlining their interest in (one of) the projects and their motivation to pursue a PhD, their CV, previous publications (if any) and two letters of recommendation to tobias.brosch at unige.ch. Review of applications will begin immediately and will continue until the position is filled. Earliest starting date is November 1, 2014. Please don’t hesitate to contact us for further inquiries.

Dr. Varun Dutt, Indian Institute of Technology is looking to hire a postdoc who might be interested in perusing behavioral, affective, and computational research in judgment and decision making areas. The candidate should be self-motivated with strong communication skills. The position and benefits are outlined here.

5 Online Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SJDM Web site</th>
<th><a href="http://www.sjdm.org">www.sjdm.org</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judgment and Decision Making – The SJDM journal, entirely free and online</td>
<td>journal.sjdm.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJDM Newsletter – Current and archive copies of this newsletter</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sjdm.org/newsletters">www.sjdm.org/newsletters</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJDM mailing list – List archives and information on joining and leaving the email list</td>
<td>SJDM mailing list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Science News – Some of the content of this newsletter is released early in blog form here</td>
<td><a href="http://www.decisionsciencenews.com">www.decisionsciencenews.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Science News by email – One email per week</td>
<td>DSN by email</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Thanks to Mare Appleby (conference coordinator), Jon Baron (webmaster), Gretchen Chapman (President) Ana Franco-Watkins (social event), Meng Li (book auction), Katherine Burson (communication), Yuval Rottenstreich (Einhorn), Daniel Read (Beattie), and Amy Summerville (student posters), Christina Rader, Samantha Appleby (program assistants) and the ad hoc reviewers: Shahar Ayal, Peter Ayton, Rachel Barkan, Dan Bartels, Lyle Brenner, Stephen Broomeell, Jason Dana, Clint Davis-Stober, Michael DeKay, Barbara Fasolo, Dan Feiler, Phil Fernbach, Andreas Glockner, Michel Handgraaf, Stefan Herzog, Leslie John, Natalia Karelaia, Rick Larrick, Ye Li, Al Mannes, Craig McKenzie, Julia Minson, Don Moore, Kanchan Mukherjee, Ben Newell, Chris Olivola, Thorsten Pachur, Scott Rick, Janet Schwartz, Maurice Schweitzer, Kelly See, Shlomi Sher, Joe Simmons, Stephen Spiller, Abby Sussman, Elizabeth Tenney, Claire Tsai, Gulden Ulkumen, Oleg Urminsky, Joachim Vosgerau
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 21
Psychonomic Society JDM Sessions (See the Psychonomic Society program for details)
5:00-7:00 pm Welcome Reception / Early Registration Rooms – Westin - Tokyo/Vancouver
7:00-9:00 pm Executive Board Dinner, location TBA

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 22
7:30-8:30 am Registration and Continental Breakfast – Westin - Centennial Ballroom Foyer
8:30 -10:00 am Paper Session #1 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
10:00 -10:30 am Morning Coffee Break – Westin - Centennial Ballroom Foyer (Westin)
10:30-12:00 pm Paper Session #2 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
12:00-1:30 pm Lunch Break (on your own)
1:30-3:00 pm Paper Session #3 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
3:15-4:45 pm Paper Session #4 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
4:45-5:15 pm Afternoon Coffee Break – Westin - Centennial Ballroom Foyer
5:15-6:45 pm Paper Session #5 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
6:45-8:45 pm Graduate Student Social Event – Westin - Ocean Ballroom

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 23
7:30-10:00 am Poster Session #1 & Book Auction w/ Continental Breakfast – Grand Ballroom - Convention Center
10:30-12:00 pm Paper Session #6 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
12:00-1:30 pm Women in SJDM Networking Event – Westin - Salon A
12:00-1:30 pm Lunch Break (on your own)
1:30-2:30 pm Keynote Address by John List – Westin - Salon B
2:45-4:15 pm Paper Session #7 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
4:15-4:45 pm Afternoon Coffee – Westin - Centennial Ballroom Foyer
4:45-5:30 pm Presidential Address by Gretchen Chapman – Westin - Salon B
5:30-7:30 pm Poster Session #2 & Book Auction w/ Cash Bar – Grand Ballroom - Convention Center
9:00pm-1:00am SJDM Evening Social Event at Sevilla Night Club (for more information see page 6)

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24
8:00-9:00 am Business Meeting w/ Continental Breakfast – Westin - Salon A
9:15-10:00 am Einhorn and Publication Award – Westin - Salon B
10:15-11:35 am Paper Session #8 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
11:30-12:00 pm Morning Coffee Break – Westin - Centennial Ballroom Foyer
12:00-1:30 pm Paper Session #9 Rooms – Westin - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca
SATURDAY NOV 22, 2014
Rooms – Weston - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #1</th>
<th>Constructed Preferences &amp; Valuation</th>
<th>Investment &amp; Risk Taking</th>
<th>Social Dilemmas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Carpenter - Value is Shaped</td>
<td>Ulkumen - Perceived Nature</td>
<td>Evans - Reaction times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:50am</td>
<td>Sher - A Rational Analysis</td>
<td>Atanasov - Underinvestment</td>
<td>Fledler - Social Preferences Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:10am</td>
<td>Herzog - Signal Detection</td>
<td>Markle - To Hedge Your Bets</td>
<td>Talloen - Red Potato Chips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>Schley - Fifty Shades</td>
<td>Vosgerau - Malleability of Risk</td>
<td>Attari - Reasons for cooperation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #2</th>
<th>Discounting, Numeracy &amp; Competence</th>
<th>Heuristics and Biases</th>
<th>Ethics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>McDonald - Tradeoffs</td>
<td>Simmons - Six Biases</td>
<td>Saccardo - Bribing the Self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50am</td>
<td>Yoon - A Closer Look</td>
<td>Sharif - Retrieval on Memory</td>
<td>Motro - Investigating the Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:10am</td>
<td>Cokely - Predicting Bias</td>
<td>Perfecto - Anchoring in Payment</td>
<td>Reecck - Resisting Ethical Temptations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30am</td>
<td>Parker - Robustness</td>
<td>McShane - Blinding Us to the Obvious</td>
<td>Sah - Disclosure and Strategic Restraint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #3</th>
<th>Nudges and Choice Architecture</th>
<th>Learning, Experience, and Feedback 1</th>
<th>Social Decisions 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:30pm</td>
<td>Rogers - Follow Through</td>
<td>Wulff - Description-experience Gap</td>
<td>Steffel - Giver-Recipient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50pm</td>
<td>Jung - Beneficial Policies</td>
<td>Ert - The joint effect</td>
<td>Bauman - Using Ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:10pm</td>
<td>Hagmann - Warning</td>
<td>Guney - Overcoming ambiguity aversion</td>
<td>Choshen-Hillel - Waste resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30pm</td>
<td>Tannenbaum - Opposition to Policy</td>
<td>Pachur - Unpacking the endowment effect</td>
<td>Tu - Friendly Taking Effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #4</th>
<th>Resource Scarcity</th>
<th>Learning, Experience, &amp; Feedback 2</th>
<th>Impression Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:15pm</td>
<td>Shah - Thinking About Scarcity</td>
<td>Hogarth - Learning to predict success</td>
<td>Cornelissen - Clicktivism or slacktivism?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:35pm</td>
<td>Roux - When Those Who Have</td>
<td>Newell - Information versus reward</td>
<td>McGraw - Humorous Complaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:55pm</td>
<td>Zhao - Cognitive &amp; Behavioral Consequences</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>Milkman - Quitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15pm</td>
<td>Sharma - A Dollar Saved</td>
<td>Erev - Maximization</td>
<td>Green - Impact Aversion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #5</th>
<th>Financial Decision Making</th>
<th>Groups and Opinion Aggregation</th>
<th>Moral Judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5:15pm</td>
<td>Carner - A Fourfold Portrait</td>
<td>Shulze - More Heads</td>
<td>Morewedge - Money Laundering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:35pm</td>
<td>Chin - What do people think</td>
<td>Palley - Eliciting and Aggregating</td>
<td>Landy - Incidental disgust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:55pm</td>
<td>Li - Sound credit scores</td>
<td>Rothschild - Trading Strategies</td>
<td>Geipel - Thinking in a foreign language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15pm</td>
<td>Ayal - Pseudo diversification</td>
<td>Yeomans - Recommender Systems</td>
<td>Hershfield - Crime and Punishment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUNDAY NOV 23, 2014

**Rooms – Weston - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #6</th>
<th>TRACK I Salon C</th>
<th>TRACK II Salon D</th>
<th>TRACK III Barcelona/Casablanca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>Affective Decision Making</td>
<td>Overconfidence &amp; Misconceptions of Chance</td>
<td>Goals and Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsee - Lay Rationalism</td>
<td>Haran - Functional Overconfidence</td>
<td>Shu - The Effects of an Emergency Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50am</td>
<td>Anik - Matchmaking Promotes Happiness</td>
<td>Tenney - Is Overconfidence Advantageous to Credibility?</td>
<td>Shin - Can Preparing for Failure Reduce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:10am</td>
<td>Schrift - Pain &amp; Preferences</td>
<td>Goldstein - Insight into the process behind overconfidence</td>
<td>Yecheiak - Loss attention in a dual task setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30am</td>
<td>Campbell - Fight from Falsifiability</td>
<td>Moore - Misconceptions of Chance</td>
<td>Lucas - People Underestimate the Value of Persistence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session #7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRACK I Salon C</th>
<th>TRACK II</th>
<th>TRACK III Barcelona/Casablanca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:45pm</td>
<td>Markets and Consumers</td>
<td>Confidence and Uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:05pm</td>
<td>Weiss - Product Retention</td>
<td>Walters - Known Unknowns Determine Confidence and Calibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:25pm</td>
<td>Liu – Jeopardy</td>
<td>Russo - Not by Desire Alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45pm</td>
<td>Pierce - Behavioral and Economic Impact of Tragedy</td>
<td>Kelly - Making Detailed Predictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bhattacharjee - Selling Out: Producer Motives</td>
<td>Shen - The Power of Uncertainty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MONDAY NOV 24, 2014

**Rooms – Weston - Salon C, Salon D, Barcelona/Casablanca**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session #8</th>
<th>TRACK I Salon C</th>
<th>TRACK II Salon D</th>
<th>TRACK III Barcelona/Casablanca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:15am</td>
<td>Framing</td>
<td>Health and Medicine</td>
<td>Social Decisions 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asensio - Dynamics of Information</td>
<td>Hutchinson - Delays in computing health information</td>
<td>Schroeder - Many Hands Make Overlooked Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:35am</td>
<td>Gromet - Framing political ideology</td>
<td>Nurck - Information distortion in medical diagnosis</td>
<td>Anderson - The ties that bind (strangers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:55am</td>
<td>Crookes - Whether You Are Framed</td>
<td>Dai - The Impact of Time at Work and Time off from Work</td>
<td>Daniels - What Is The Matrix?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15am</td>
<td>Mittelman - The Offer Framing Effect</td>
<td>Jenny - Improving decision making in the emergency department</td>
<td>Schurr - The Winner Takes it All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session #9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRACK I Salon C</th>
<th>TRACK II</th>
<th>TRACK III Barcelona/Casablanca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00pm</td>
<td>Consumption over Time</td>
<td>Risk Perception &amp; Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:20pm</td>
<td>Kumar - Waiting For Merlot</td>
<td>Fox-Glassman - Natural Hazards and Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40pm</td>
<td>Zhang - A “present” for the future</td>
<td>Wang - The Origins of Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00pm</td>
<td>Baucells - Preferences over Sequences</td>
<td>Schwartz - Prioritizing moderate vs. severe risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tsai - Affective Experience over Time</td>
<td>Bereby-Meyer - Is cancelling a fire insurance policy riskier than not having one?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2014 SJDM Conference Special Events

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 21
5:00-7:00 pm SJDM Welcome Reception / Early Registration – Westin -Tokyo/Vancouver
Please join us at the Welcome Reception which will feature appetizers and a cash bar. This event will also provide an opportunity for early conference registration so that you can avoid the lines Saturday morning.
7:00-9:00 pm Executive Board Dinner - TBA
Members of the Executive Board, JDM officers, and program chairs for this year and next year are invited to a working dinner.

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 22
6:45-8:45 pm Graduate Student Social Event – Westin - Ocean Ballroom
This informal event will provide student members of SJDM an opportunity to imbibe and network with the future stars of the field. But wait, there’s more: SJDM is buying the first round of drinks! For more information contact Christina Rader at Christina.rader@duke.edu

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 23
7:30-10:00 am AND 5:30-7:30 pm SJDM Book Auction – Grand Ballroom - Convention Center
If you love academic books, come to the SJDM book auction table during the poster sessions. Bid on the books for a fraction of the retail cost through a sealed auction. Bidding ends at 7:00 pm during the evening poster session. Any books without bids will be offered for $1, first come first served! For graduate students, don't miss this great opportunity to get books at discounted prices. Proceeds from the book auction support student-related travel. For more information, contact Meng Li at meng.li@ucdenver.edu

12:00-1:30 pm Women in SJDM Networking Event – Westin - Salon A
All (women and men) are welcome to attend the ninth annual Women in SJDM Luncheon, focused on promoting the advancement of women in JDM. The event will feature lunch, networking opportunities, and a keynote speech from Dean Sally Blount of Northwestern University’s Kellogg School of Management. The event is organized this year by Ellie Kyung, Kelly Goldsmith, and Leslie John. To inquire about the event, please email Leslie John at ljoh@hbs.edu. We will open registration online through the SJDM mailing list and accept a maximum of 140 people, and we will keep a waiting list if necessary. In addition, when registering for the conference: [http://www.sjdm.org/join.html], you will notice an option to donate to the Women in SJDM event. We encourage you (especially faculty!) to consider a donation to the event fund. With all of our support, we can ensure that this event will continue to be an annual tradition.

THANK YOU TO THE SPONSORS OF THE 2014 WOMEN IN SJDM LUNCHEON

| Department of Social & Decision Sciences | Carnegie Mellon University |
| Center for the Decision Sciences | Columbia Business School |
| Columbia Business School |
| Tuck School of Business | Dartmouth College |
| The Fuqua School of Business | Duke University |
| Management and Organizations | Eller College of Management, University of Arizona |
| Georgetown University | McDonough School of Business |
| Negotiations, Organizations & Markets Unit | Harvard Business School |
| Kellogg School of Management | Northwestern University |
| Marketing Department | NYU Stern School of Business |
| Behavioral Decision Making Initiative | The Ohio State University |
| Department of Psychology | Princeton University |
| Department of Psychology | Rutgers University |
| Freeman School of Business | Tulane University |
| Behavioral Decision Making Research Group | UCLA Anderson School of Management |
| Center for Decision Research | University of Chicago Booth School of Business |
| Carl H. Lindner College of Business | University of Cincinnati |
| Leeds School of Business | University of Colorado Boulder |
| The Wharton School | University of Pennsylvania |
| Olin School of Business | Washington University |

| Individuals: Cindy Cryder, Kelly Goldsmith, Crystal Hall, Leslie John, Eric Johnson, Ellie Kyung, Katy Milkman, Elke Weber |

This event is made possible entirely through sponsorship. To help keep this event an annual tradition, please consider donating to the Women in SJDM Annual Lunch Fund. (To make a contribution, go to: http://www.sjdm.org/join.html, scroll down to the statement: “Donate to the Women in SJDM Annual Lunch Fund”, and click “Donate”.)
1:30-2:30 pm **Keynote Address by John List** – Room - Weston - Salon B

**Field Experiments in the Social Science**  
*John List (Homer J. Livingston Professor of Economics, University of Chicago)*

This lecture will focus on how I use field experiments to tackle some of the most vexing social problems we face: Why do women earn less money than men? Why do inner city schools continue to struggle? Why do people give to charity? Why do people vote? Why do people discriminate? The field experimental methodology allows one to go beyond measurement, and into the world of finding out the important "whys" underlying each problem.

4:45-5:30 pm **Presidential Address by Gretchen Chapman** – Room - Weston - Salon B

**Judge, Nudge, Dodge: Doing what's good for us**  
*Gretchen Chapman (Professor of Psychology & Acting Co-Director, Rutgers Center for Cognitive Science, Rutgers University)*

Choices that are bad for our health or future well-being are ubiquitous. For example, people frequently avoid getting a flu shot, eat unhealthy foods, and fail to get enough exercise. Recently, nudges that employ behavioral economic principles to encourage healthy behavior have attracted significant attention. I will present evidence for several health nudges that employ defaults, utilize reference points, or harnessing prosocial motives, but I will also examine dodges, or limitations of nudges where individuals sidestep or compensate for the intervention. These findings highlight the potential but also the boundary conditions of decision theoretic interventions to enhance health.

---

**Welcome to the Annual SJDM Book Auction**  
@ Sunday Poster Sessions  
Bidding ends at 7pm on Sunday!  
$1 sale afterwards

If you love academic books, come to the SJDM book auction table during the **Sunday morning and evening poster sessions**. Bid on the books for a fraction of the retail cost. Bidding ends at 7:00 pm during the evening poster session. Any books without bids will be sold at $1 at 7:30 pm! For graduate students, don't miss this great opportunity to get books at discounted prices. Proceeds from the book auction support student-related travel. For more information, contact Meng Li at meng.li@ucdenver.edu
The great annual tradition returns!!

SJDM Social Event: Sunday 9:00pm-2:00am

Be sure to make your way over to Sevilla for our annual social event. We will have seating, tables, and a dance floor for loosening up, bite-sized desserts, and of course a bar. Drink tickets will be distributed to the first JDMers to arrive. See you there! Sevilla is located at 140 Pine Ave, less than a 10 minute walk from the Westin hotel. Please go to the entrance to the left of the restaurant (Cafe Sevilla). If you go to the restaurant, they will direct you to the entrance for our event. Google maps suggest to head west on E. Ocean Blvd toward Long Beach Blv and then turn right onto Pine Ave. Sevilla will be on the right side.

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24
8:00-9:00 am Business Meeting and Awards Breakfast Rooms -Westin - Salon A
All members of SJDM are invited to attend the business meeting (and it’s where the food is). Every vote counts. Student poster awards will be announced.
9:15-10:00 am Einhorn and Publication Award Rooms – Westin - Salon B
If you want to know who won this prestigious award, you'll have to come to this session of the conference! The winner will make a presentation of the research paper for which s/he won the award.

NOTE: there will be no Monday Luncheon at this year's conference.
Value is Shaped by Prior Experience: Time Value Carryover Between "Irrelevant" Decision Situations

*Carpenter, Stephanie M. (University of Michigan); Vickers, Brian D. (University of Michigan); Yates, J. Frank (University of Michigan)*

Across five studies, decisions involving time were susceptible to past tradeoffs. Recalling a prior tradeoff situation involving time activated time values, which carried over to decisions in financial investment contexts that were irrelevant to the original tradeoffs (Study 1). Knowledge about the decision domain (Study 2) and decision difficulty (Study 3) predicted carryover of the time value onto subsequent choices. Value satiation also provided a better account for the carryover effects than did deprivation (Study 4a), and the time attribute dimension promoted the tradeoff carryover (Study 4b). We demonstrate previously undocumented influences of prior time experiences on current decision behavior. Contact: smcarpen@umich.edu

A Rational Analysis of Constructed Preference

*Sher, Shlomi (Pomona College); Muller-Trede, Johannes (University of California, San Diego); McKenzie, Craig R. M. (University of California, San Diego)*

Choices are sensitive to the context of available options and the order in which questions are asked. These phenomena suggest that preferences are "constructed" (rather than "revealed") and are often considered counter-normative. We propose a rational analysis of constructed preference, which casts some of these phenomena in a new light. When knowledge is incomplete, reasonable inferences from sampled options can explain some important context effects -- including joint-separate reversals and asymmetric dominance effects. Furthermore, when preferences are incomplete, a normatively appropriate decision rule treats choices as precedents, and generates "coherent arbitrariness". The rational construction of preference is context- and history-dependent. Contact: Shlomi.Sher@pomona.edu

Signal detection theory in the wild: Reverse-engineering error preferences of decision makers who are unable or reluctant to reveal them

*Herzog, Stefan M. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin)*

In yes/no decisions, there is an inevitable trade-off between misses and false alarms. Signal detection theory (SDT) prescribes that, when choosing a threshold, one should consider both error preferences (lenient to avoid misses vs. conservative to avoid false alarms) and base-rate beliefs (conservative for unlikely events). Because important events are typically rare (e.g., security threats, tornadoes), estimated thresholds reflect both preferences and beliefs. Decision makers are sometimes unable or reluctant to reveal their preferences (e.g., taboo trade-offs). I present an SDT model that reverse-engineers preferences by partialling out beliefs and illustrate it with real-world decisions from meteorology and emergency medicine. Contact: herzog@mpib-berlin.mpg.de

Fifty Shades of “Medium”: The Psychology of Intermediate Attribute Levels

*Schley, Dan R (The Ohio State University); de Langhe, Bart (University of Colorado Boulder)*

We examined how individuals, who are presented with three or more decision alternatives, value different attribute levels across the attribute range. Seven studies demonstrate that individuals are relatively less sensitive to changes in attribute levels near the middle of the range than near the extremes. That is, the relationship between attribute levels and subjective value is consistently inverse S-shaped. Furthermore, we demonstrate that increasing or decreasing the salience of the ordinal relationships of a choice set moderates sensitivity to intermediate attribute levels. Contact: schley.5@osu.edu
Perceived Nature of Market Uncertainty Predicts Investment Behavior and Market Outcomes

Ulkumen, Gulden (University of Southern California); Walters, Daniel (UCLA); Erner, Carsten (UCLA); Tannenbaum, David (UCLA); Fox, Craig R. (UCLA)

We find that investors' perceptions of uncertainty vary along two independent dimensions: (i) the extent to which outcomes appear to be governed by chance processes (aleatory uncertainty); and (ii) the extent to which outcomes are potentially predictable in advance (epistemic uncertainty). We demonstrate that the perceived nature of market or asset uncertainty reliably predicts investors' behavior (i.e., portfolio diversification, trading frequency, willingness to pay for financial advice), advisors' assessment of own performance (i.e., attributions of credit/blame and luckiness/unluckiness for correct/incorrect forecasts), companies' earnings forecasts (i.e., extremity of and confidence in forecasts), and market outcomes (i.e, CAPM betas). Contact:ulkumen@marshall.usc.edu

Underinvestment in profitable opportunities

Atanasov, Pavel (University of Pennsylvania); Dana, Jason (Yale University); Mellers, Barbara (University of Pennsylvania)

In both a large field study and a simple laboratory experiment, we find that decision makers do not increase their investments rationally in response to increasingly favorable odds. Rather, they under-adjust, increasing their investment too little as gambles become more favorable, such that they appear more risk averse for very favorable than for slightly favorable gambles. This behavior cannot be reconciled with expected utility maximization, nor can it be fully explained using standard formulations of the Cumulative Prospect Theory probability weighting function. Contact:apav@sas.upenn.edu

To Hedge Your Bets or Double Down: The Effects of Background Risk on Risk Preferences

Markle, Alex (Fordham University)

Individuals must often make decisions about risky future undertakings while simultaneously facing unresolved risks from earlier decisions. Here I examine how background risk influences the evaluation of new risks. In a series of experiments, participants were reluctant to accept gambles negatively correlated with an existing background risk, even though they represent opportunities to hedge by reducing the total variance of payoffs. Conversely, participants were eager to double-down on an existing background risk by accepting a positively correlated gamble. Both behaviors imply risk-seeking preferences. Those references reverse, however, when the outcomes from the two risks are combined, rather than presented separately. Contact: amarkle@fordham.edu

Malleability of Risk Preferences

Vosgerau, Joachim (Tilburg University); Peer, Eyal (Bar-Ilan University)

We show that people can be risk averse and risk seeking for the same risky prospect; participants asked to be paid (WTA) and were willing to pay (WTP) to play the same risky gamble. Both WTA and WTP increased when the stakes of the gamble increased. Such opposing revealed risk preferences were observed for hypothetical and real gambles, and even when the WTA/WTP frame was manipulated within-participants. WTA/WTP correlated positively, suggesting heterogeneity in the susceptibility to the framing of risk preferences. Contact:j.vosgerau@uvt.nl

Reaction times and reflection in social dilemmas: Extreme responses are fast, but not intuitive

Evans, Anthony M. (Tilburg University); Dillon, Kyle D. (Harvard University); Rand, David G. (Yale University)

When people have the chance to help others, are prosocial decisions reached more quickly (and intuitively) than selfish decisions? We investigate whether reaction times follow an inverted-U pattern, and find that extreme decisions occur more quickly than intermediate decisions. However, experimentally manipulating intuitive processing produces different results, increasing the frequency of cooperative decisions, but decreasing the frequency of selfish decisions. We conclude that reaction times should not be taken as a direct proxy for the use of intuitive or reflective processes, and develop the idea that decision conflict can explain individual differences in reaction times. Contact:tony.m.evans@gmail.com
How Social Preferences Guide the Transformation of Objective Payoffs: An Eye-tracking Analysis

Fiedler, Susann (Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods); Glockner, Andreas (University of Göttingen); De Dreu, Carsten (University of Amsterdam)

In a set of eye-tracking studies (Study 1 & 2) we show that differences in social preferences are accompanied by consistent differences in information search (i.e., number of fixations, transitions and proportion of attention) in social dilemma situations. To investigate this relationship further the temporal dynamics of the information search were analysed (Study 3) and showed distinct patterns of information acquisition for different social preferences over the course of decision making. These results are discussed with respect to evidence accumulation models in the context of interdependent decision making. Contact: fiedler@coll.mpg.de

From Red Potato Chips to Greener Forests: Tackling a Common Pool Resource Dilemma with Partitions

Talloen, Joachim (SAS Rutgers University); B. Chapman, Gretchen (Psychology Department Rutgers University)

Partitions can decrease consumption - for example, people eat fewer potato chips when every seventh chip is dyed red (Geier et al., 2012) - because partitions suggest the appropriate consumption amount. We investigated whether a partitioning manipulation would decrease consumption (i.e., improve cooperation), in a common pool resource scenario. Participants (N=503) decided how many trees to harvest, and if the total harvest by the group was below a set limit, everyone received a bonus. Participants were defaulted to consume the maximum amount, but the partition manipulation significantly increased the likelihood that participants would opt out and reduce their consumption. Contact: joachim.talloen@gmail.com

Reasons for cooperation and defection in real-world social dilemmas

Attari, Shahzeen (Indiana University Bloomington); Krantz, David (Columbia University); Weber, Elke (Columbia University)

Interventions to increase cooperation in social dilemmas depend on understanding decision makers' motivations. Using an online survey (N = 929), we examined these motivations in five real-world social dilemmas: situations where private interests are at odds with collective ones. Cooperation in each dilemma correlates strongly with self-reported altruism and with punitive attitudes toward defectors. Defectors often view cooperation as costly but do not endorse free riding as a reason for defection. We conclude that cooperation is based mostly on pro-social norms and defection on a mixture of self-interest and motivated perception that situational circumstances prevent cooperation in the given situation. Contact: sattari@indiana.edu

Session #2 Track I: Discounting, Numeracy & Competence – Westin - Salon C

Cross Modal Discounting: Time discounting vanishes when tradeoffs are made among different goods

Read, Daniel (Warwick Business School); McDonald, Rebecca (Warwick Business School); Cubitt, Robin (University of Nottingham)

Cross-modal time discounting is the term we use to describe the effect of time on valuation, when the outcomes differ qualitatively, such as choices between an immediate car and a delayed house extension. Using a novel method we find that whereas for uni-modal choices discounting is expectedly high, for cross-modal choices discounting is much lower - indeed, almost non-existent and certainly not significantly greater than 0. Whereas conventional time discounting models cannot accommodate such results we describe a simple attribute based model that does. Contact: daniel.read@wbs.ac.uk

A Closer Look at the Yardstick; Precision and Range of Discount Rate Measures

Yoon, Haewon (Rutgers University, Psychology); Chapman, Gretchen (Rutgers University, Psychology)

In intertemporal choice research, choice tasks (i.e., asking $80 today vs. $100 in a year) are often used to elicit a discount rate. The discount rate derived from a choice task, however, is largely restricted by the granularities and ranges of the questions asked. We examined this problem in three popular discount rate measurements using simulations and experiments, and we propose an alternative procedure (Three-option Adaptive Discount rate measurement, ToAD), which is capable of measuring a wide range of discount rates with high precision in under a minute. ToAD can be easily implemented on online surveys (i.e., Qualtrics). Contact: haewon@rutgers.edu
Predicting Bias in Very Highly Educated Samples: Experimenting with RiskLiteracy.org

Cokely, Edward T. (Michigan Tech, Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Ghazal, Saima (Michigan Tech); Garcia-Retamero, Rocio (University of Granada, Max Planck Institute for Human Devel.)

Why is numeracy one of the strongest predictors of superior decision making? Does numeracy's predictive power hold even among very highly educated professionals? In two RiskLiteracy.org outreach studies in Holland (n=5408; 30-50% held graduate degrees), the Berlin Numeracy Test was found to predict financial, medical, and metacognitive biases (i.e., lotteries, intertemporal choice, denominator neglect, confidence judgments), independent of education, profession, gender, and age. Metacognitive processes partially mediated the link between numeracy and superior performance. More numerate participants performed better because they deliberated more and experienced less overconfidence. Contact: ecokely@mtu.edu

Robustness of Decision-Making Competence: Evidence from an 11-year longitudinal study

Parker, Andrew M. (RAND Corporation); Bruine de Bruin, Wandi (University of Leeds and Carnegie Mellon University); Fischhoff, Baruch (Carnegie Mellon University); Weller, Joshua (Decision Research and Oregon State University)

Most JDM research focuses on young adults or cross-sectional age comparisons. Leveraging unique longitudinal data, we demonstrate how Decision-Making Competence (DMC) in adolescence (age 19) predicts DMC eleven years later (age 30). Indices from validated paper-and-pencil tasks, adapted from experimental research, correlated significantly over time, even after controlling for community, family, and individual characteristics. Both indices correlated as expected with cognitive ability, flexible cognitive style, environmental factors, and health-risking and antisocial behaviors. DMC appears to be a stable, measurable construct, increasing with supportive environmental factors and associated with constructive behaviors. These results suggest the value of early decision education. Contact: parker@rand.org

Session #2 Track II: Heuristics and Biases – Westin - Salon D

Six Biases That Are All The Same

Simmons, Joseph P. (University of Pennsylvania); Nelson, Leif D. (University of California, Berkeley)

Six studies show that people predict (1) favorites to beat underdogs by more points than oddsmakers predict, (2) high-scoring teams to score more points than oddsmakers predict, (3) well-performing companies to outperform their stock prices, (4) hot cities to be hotter than usual, (5) themselves to outperform others' self-predictions on easy tasks, and (6) themselves to prefer superior options against "equated" alternatives. These seemingly different biases are all the same, produced by a reliance on spontaneous evaluations that ignore "reasonable" quantities. Contact: jsimmo@wharton.upenn.edu

The Effect of Retrieval on Memory and Judgments Depends on the Strength of the Category Association

Sharif, Marissa A. (UCLA Anderson School of Management); Oppenheimer, Daniel M. (UCLA Anderson School of Management)

Recalling an event or fact can make it harder to remember related knowledge: a phenomenon known as retrieval-induced forgetting. In two experiments, retrieval-induced forgetting was shown to influence quantity estimates. Additionally, a previously un-identified moderator of retrieval-induced forgetting was discovered, leading to retrieval-induced facilitation. We show that cued recall can be used to bias quantity estimates either upwards or downwards, depending on the strength of the association between the cue and the recalled exemplar. Contact: marissa.sharif.2016@anderson.ucla.edu

Anchoring in Payment: Evaluating a Judgmental Heuristic in Field Experimental Settings

Jung, Minah H. (University of California, Berkeley); Perfecto, Hannah (University of California, Berkeley); Nelson, Leif D. (University of California, Berkeley)

Anchoring, biasing of estimates towards previously considered values, is both influential in consumer judgment and exhaustively studied by consumer researchers. However, the overwhelming majority takes place in the lab, with highly controlled, but necessarily contrived paradigms. Although the effect is often assumed to directly generalize beyond these constraints, we find it to be much more fragile. We use pay-what-you-want pricing to look at how numeric anchors do and do not influence elective payments. Sixteen field studies (N=22,702) and four hypothetical studies (N=3,056), reveal conditions we believe are crucial for observing the operation of a judgmental bias in meaningful market behavior. Contact: hannah_perfecto@haas.berkeley.edu
McShane, Blakeley (Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University); Gal, David (Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University)

The emphasis placed on null hypothesis significance testing in academic training and reporting may lead researchers to interpret evidence dichotomously (i.e., evidence exists or does not exist) rather than continuously (i.e., evidence varies in strength). Consequently, researchers might deny the existence of evidence that fails to attain statistical significance. Surveys of researchers across various fields show that a substantial majority does so. This phenomenon is manifest in both descriptive statements and likelihood judgments and is attenuated when researchers are asked to make decisions based on the evidence, particularly when the decision outcome is personally consequential. Recommendations are offered. Contact: b-mcshane@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Session #2 Track III: Ethics – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Bribing the Self

Gneezy, Uri (UC San Diego); Saccardo, Silvia (UC San Diego); Serra Garcia, Marta (UC San Diego); van Veldhuizen, Roel (WZB Berlin Social Science Research Center)

We examine whether individuals are more likely to behave unethically when they can engage in self-deception, convincing themselves that their behavior is ethical. In two experiments, decision-makers evaluate two options and are asked to recommend one based on quality. In both cases they are provided with a monetary incentive to recommend one of the options. When informed about the incentive before learning about the options, individuals' choices are systematically biased in the direction of the incentive. However, when this information comes after they learn about the quality of the options, this bias is reduced and choices are more honest. Contact: silvia.saccardo@rady.ucsd.edu

Investigating the Effects of Anger and Guilt on Unethical Behavior: A Dual-Process Approach

Motro, Daphna (University of Arizona); Ordóñez, Lisa (University of Arizona); Pittarello, Andrea (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Welsh, David (University of Washington)

Dual processing theory suggests that people use two systems of thinking: automatic and controlled. Prior research has shown that automatic processing increases cheating (Mead et al., 2009). Here we show that emotions affect cheating via dual processing. In Experiment 1, we demonstrated that anger increases automatic processing, while guilt increases controlled processing. In Experiment 2, we found that angry participants cheated significantly more than both guilty and neutral participants. In Experiment 3, we showed that the effect of these emotions on cheating is mediated by different processing systems. These results indicate that emotions are an important component of cheating behavior. Contact: lordonez@u.arizona.edu

Resisting Ethical Temptations in Conflicts of Interest: Neural and Behavioral Insights into Individual Differences

 Reeck, Crystal (Columbia University); Ariely, Dan (Duke University); Mazar, Nina (University of Toronto); Ludwig, Rita (Harvard University); Mason, Malia F. (Columbia University)

Conflicts of interests (COIs) often pit the desire for personal gain against the commitment to form judgments objectively, which undermine a broad range of advice that consumers rely upon. The present research examined the psychological forces that shape individual differences when dealing with COIs. Across two experiments, one fMRI study and one behavioral study, we find evidence in support for a primary role for self-control compared to reward in restraining the influence of COIs. That is, people who are better able to resist temptations to act unethically are equally tempted by the rewards but are better able to control themselves. Contact: nina.mazar@utoronto.ca

Disclosure and Strategic Restraint: The Appropriateness of Bias in Medical and Business Contexts

Sah, Sunita (Georgetown University)

Prior research implies that advisors who disclose their conflicts-of-interest give even more biased advice. Two experiments with monetary incentives demonstrate that framing decisions in a business or medical context significantly alters how disclosure impacts advice. Professional norms lead advisors who have to disclose to either succumb to bias (in a business setting, by believing that disclosure absolves them of their responsibility—caveat emptor) or restrain from bias (in a medical setting, by reminding advisors of their responsibility towards advisees). These findings disconfirm previous assumptions regarding disclosure and highlight the importance of context when understanding the potential and pitfalls of disclosure. Contact: ss3250@georgetown.edu
Elephants and Aliens: Using Distinct Cues to Increase Follow Through

Rogers, Todd (Harvard); Milkman, Katherine (Wharton)

Many of society's most important problems involve failing to follow through on their intentions. Four experiments examine how and when follow through can be increased by associating the moment during which an intention is to be performed with a distinct cue embedded in that moment. Results suggest that in visually cluttered environments distinct cues can increase follow through better than explicit reminder signs. Greater cue distinctness also increases follow through. Libertarian paternalists can strategically use distinct cues to help people follow through; individuals can increase their own follow through by deploying and associating their intentions with distinct cues. Contact: todd_rogers@HKS.harvard.edu

Beneficial Policies that are Too Effective to be Good: Heuristics Equating Predictability and Coercion

Jung, Janice Yeonjin (University of Pennsylvania, Wharton Marketing); Baron, Jonathan (University of Pennsylvania, Psychology)

We find that people use heuristics equating predictability and coercion. A policy that increases the choice share of an option from 80% to 100% (40% to 80%) is perceived as more coercive than an identical policy with the increase from 60% to 80% because 100% (a bigger increase) implies more predictability. When multiple coupons (nudges) jointly influence decisions, the coupon that is provided later is perceived as more coercive because it makes the behavior predictable. Vividness and specificity of the group whose behavior becomes predictable moderates the effect of predictability on coercion ratings. People with external locus-of-control show larger effects. Contact: yeonjinj@wharton.upenn.edu

Warning: You are About to Be Nudged

Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University); Bryce, Cindy (University of Pittsburgh); Hagmann, David (Carnegie Mellon University); Rajpal, Sachin (Independent)

Defaults can impact important decisions, including how people complete advance directives (which specify the medical treatments they want to receive if they become unable to communicate their wishes). However, defaults and other nudges are often criticized for violating individual autonomy because those affected are typically unaware that they are being influenced. We report results from a study in which people were informed that they were being defaulted, either before they completed a hypothetical advance directive or immediately afterwards (and then were given an opportunity to revise their choices). Neither pre-informing nor post-informing respondents appreciably diminished the impact of defaults. Contact: david.hagmann@gmail.com

Opposition to Policy Nudges or to Policy Objectives?

Tannenbaum, David (UCLA Anderson School); Rogers, Todd (Harvard Kennedy School); Fox, Craig R. (UCLA Anderson School)

Insights from the behavioral and decision sciences have recently been applied to public policy, but not without controversy. Advocates argue that behavioral nudges are ethical and effective; detractors claim they are manipulative and counterproductive. We show that laypeople and experts have difficulty separating their support/opposition to behavioral nudges from their feelings about the policy objectives underlying those nudges. When applied to objectionable policy goals nudges are seen as unethical and coercive, but when applied to supported policy goals those same nudges are seen as ethical. We demonstrate this across a range of nudges and using real policymakers as subjects. Contact: david.tannenbaum@anderson.ucla.edu

The Description-experience Gap in the sampling paradigm: a meta-analytic review.

Wulff, Dirk U. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Hertwig, Ralph (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

About a decade after the discovery of the description-experience gap, we have collated the data from 21 independent published articles--all employing the sampling paradigm. The data are currently being analyzed with the aim of uncovering the underpinnings of the gap and illuminating the processes involved in experienced based choice. Preliminary analyses support the frequent criticism of sampling error being the most important contributor to the gap. But the data also indicate a stable recency effect. Thus, although the description-experience-gap is largely a statistical artifact, different psychological processes need be assumed for decisions from experience and decisions from description. Contact: wulff@mpib-Berlin.mpg.de
The joint effect of the classical choice phenomena, and the role of experience

Erev, Ido (Technion); Ert, Eyal (Hebrew University); Plonsky, Ori (Technion)

This paper aims to clarify the effect of experience on decision-making. The study focuses on the best-known decision research phenomena (e.g., St.Petersburg, Allais, loss aversion, reflection effect, ambiguity aversion). Participants faced 30 problems for 25 trials. The first 5 trials involved no-feedback (i.e., decisions-from-description). The next 20 trials included feedback (i.e., decisions-from-experience). Analysis of the "no-feedback" trials highlights the robustness of the classical phenomena, and the last 20 "with-feedback" trials reveals that experience reduces the weighting of rare events, and increases maximization. A model assuming reliance on small samples that are sampled from objective or biased distributions captures the results. Contact: eyal.ert@mail.huji.ac.il

Overcoming ambiguity aversion through experience

Guney, Sule (University of New South Wales); Newell, Ben R. (University of New South Wales)

Ambiguity is often characterized with unknown probability distributions for outcomes, which people avoid while making decisions. We examined whether attitudes toward ambiguity would differ if potential probability distributions underlying the ambiguous bet in the Ellsberg task were either experienced through sampling or described prior to choice. The results showed that people demonstrated ambiguity-neutral attitudes when the probability distributions were experienced but their ambiguity attitudes changed as a function of the type of probability distribution when described. People were also found less likely to be ambiguity-averse if they observed distributions with winning being more likely, or more frequent during sampling trials. Contact: s.guney@unsw.edu.au

Unpacking the endowment effect from experience

Pachur, Thorsten (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin); Scheibehenne, Benjamin (University of Basel)

We present a computational framework for disentangling various candidate mechanisms contributing to the endowment effect obtained in an experiential sampling paradigm. An extensive model comparison--testing for buyer-seller differences in outcome sensitivity (i.e., loss aversion), choice sensitivity, learning rate, and response bias--suggests distinct contributions of higher outcome sensitivity and a higher response bias for sellers than for buyers. An attention-based account of the endowment effect, predicting differences in choice sensitivity and learning rate, was not supported. Further, our modeling framework shows that a Becker-DeGroot-Marschak incentivization reduces specifically buyer-seller differences in response bias but not in outcome sensitivity. Contact: pachur@mpib-berlin.mpg.de

Session #3 Track III: Social Decisions 1 – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Giver-Recipient Discrepancies Contribute to Gift Card Non-redemption: Givers Choose Personalized but More-Restrictive and Less-Preferred Gift Cards

Steffel, Mary (University of Cincinnati); Williams, Elanor F. (University of California, San Diego); LeBoeuf, Robyn A. (Washington University in St. Louis)

Gift cards are extremely popular gifts, yet many go unredeemed. This research identifies a giver-recipient discrepancy in judgment that can help explain why: givers focus on what their recipients are like, which is salient to them as givers, and fail to focus enough on recipients’ current wants and needs, which is more salient to recipients. Consequently, while recipients prefer and are more likely to redeem gift cards that can be redeemed anywhere, givers tend to give cards that are personalized but limited in where they can be redeemed, even when they first consider what they themselves would prefer to receive. Contact: mary.steffel@uc.edu

On Using Ranges when Making Offers in Negotiations

Bauman, Christopher W. (University of California, Irvine)

Negotiators sometimes provide a range rather than name a specific price when making an offer. Three studies explored how and why people construct range offers, and whether people achieve better outcomes with range or single value offers. Results indicated that one end of range offers tended not to differ from single value offers, but the other end typically was more conservative. As a consequence, range offers usually solicited less desirable counteroffers and led to poorer outcomes. When constructed more aggressively, however, range offers led to better outcomes than single value offers. Therefore, shrewd use of range offers can benefit negotiators. Contact: cwbauman@uci.edu
When do people waste resources to maintain equality?

Choshen-Hillel, Shoham (University of Chicago); Shaw, Alex (University of Chicago); Caruso, Eugene M. (University of Chicago)

Equity and efficiency are central principles guiding resource allocation. Abundant evidence suggests that when these principles are in conflict, people's preference for equity outweighs their preference for efficiency. We suggest that this preference for equity is reduced when allocators would be putting themselves (rather than others) at a relative disadvantage. The results of five studies show that relatively disadvantaged parties are less concerned than third parties about appearing partial, and therefore are relatively more likely to endorse efficient inequity. Our findings suggest a counter-intuitive policy implication: Voters may make more efficient decisions when they are disadvantaged by the allocation process. Contact: shoham@uchicago.edu

The Friendly Taking Effect: When Interpersonal Closeness Leads to Seemingly Selfish Choice

Tu, Yanping (University of Chicago); Shaw, Alex (University of Chicago); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago)

Five studies documented the "friendly taking effect" in allocating resources for the self and other: Interpersonal closeness increased preference for a self-benefiting resource allocation when this allocation also had greater benefits for the self and other in total (e.g., "50x for self + 30x for other" was preferred over "30x for self + 40x for other"). We show that this taking behavior is driven by a friendly intention (i.e., concern for the total-benefit), as indicated by cognitively focusing more on (e.g., acquire, remember) information on the total-benefit and considering total-benefit more important when the other is perceived to be closer. Contact: yanping.tu@chicagobooth.edu

Session #4 Track I: Resource Scarcity – Westin - Salon C

Thinking about scarcity

Shah, Anuj K. (University of Chicago Booth School of Business); Mullainathan, Sendhil (Harvard University); Shafir, Eldar (Princeton University)

Recent research on scarcity has highlighted how it undermines (or even improves) certain cognitive capacities (i.e., limiting bandwidth, constraining attention). But here we focus on how scarcity qualitatively alters the content of cognition. We find that when facing scarcity, people organize their world differently. Seemingly unrelated items start to feel more similar if they place demands on limited budgets. Thoughts about costs become difficult to suppress. And, in general, scarcity becomes top-of-mind. Contact: anuj.shah@chicagobooth.edu

When Those Who Have the Least Spend the Most: Understanding the Relationship Between Resource Scarcity, Socioeconomic Status and Materialism

Roux, Caroline (Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management); Goldsmith, Kelly (Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management); Blair, Sean (Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management); Kim, Jung Kyun (Northwestern University, Kellogg School of Management)

The current research examines the factors that promote materialism among lower-socioeconomic status (SES) consumers, as well as the psychological processes underlying these effects. We argue that consumers become more materialistic when resource scarcity is made salient and that this effect varies as a function of consumers’ SES, such that the effect is more pronounced for consumers who are lower, rather than higher, in SES. Our finding further suggest that rather than being a chronic trait of lower-SES consumers, materialism may instead be an attempt to cope with feelings of low control stemming from reminders of resource scarcity. Contact: croux@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Cognitive and behavioral consequences of time scarcity

Zhao, Jiaying (University of British Columbia)

Resource scarcity poses difficult challenges on the mind. Here we examine how time scarcity impacts cognition and behavior. A decline in cognitive function was found in undergraduate students before the final exam (study 1). To explain this finding, we manipulated time scarcity, where participants considered how to incorporate an incident into their schedule, while performing cognitive tasks. Performance was worse when participants tried to incorporate a time-consuming incident (study 2). Moreover, we observed reduced recycling accuracy before the final exam (study 3), which is mediated by cognitive function (study 4). A novel account of behaviors under time scarcity is provided. Contact: jalincarter@gmail.com
A Dollar Saved Is Not a Dollar Earned: Financial Deprivation Shifts Focus from Saving to Earning

Sharma, Eesha (Dartmouth College); Keller, Punam A. (Dartmouth College)

Regardless of wealth, many people do not save. The current work examines how subjective financial well-being may affect saving decisions. Five studies suggest that financial deprivation shifts focus from saving opportunities to earning opportunities, and that this effect is driven by the perception that earning more now rather than saving more now provides greater future financial security. This effect is attenuated when (1) savings are reframed as earnings, and (2) the perceived link between earning and financial security is weakened.

Contact: eesha.sharma@tuck.dartmouth.edu

Session #4 Track II: Learning, Experience, and Feedback 2 – Westin - Salon D

Learning to predict success in competitions: Experience, framing, and heuristics

Soyer, Emre (Ozyegin University); Hogarth, Robin M. (Universitat Pompeu Fabra)

People make errors in assessing probabilities of winning when entering competitions. However, can they learn to make accurate assessments if provided with immediate, accurate feedback? In two experiments, we found only modest levels of learning. In a third experiment, we reframed the problem by asking participants to assess the ability levels necessary to win competitions for given probabilities of winning. In this format, people do exhibit significant learning. We speculate on why the reframed problem leads to better learning and also explore simple heuristics to assess probabilities of winning. These outperform the participants in our experiments. Contact: robin.hogarth@upf.edu

Information versus reward in a changing world.

Navarro, Daniel J (University of Adelaide); Newell, Ben R. (University of New South Wales); Schulze, Christin (University of New South Wales)

How do people solve the explore-exploit trade-off in a changing environment? We examine an "observe or bet" task in which participants can either observe outcomes but forego the opportunity to receive a reward, or bet and forego feedback. In computerized and physical (card decks) versions people observe more often than a rational analysis would prescribe. This tendency is captured by a Bayesian model that assumes the rate of change in the environment is higher than the true rate. We discuss the asymmetric consequences of assuming a changing world when it is static versus an unchanging world when it is dynamic. Contact: ben.newell@unsw.edu.au

TBA

Maximization, Learning and Economic Behavior

Erev, Ido (Technion); Roth, Alvin E. (Stanford Univ.)

Review of the most influential inventions and discoveries in the social sciences reveals an apparent inconsistency. Many of the successful inventions can be described as methods to reduce social conflicts under the assumption that people maximize expected return, while the most interesting discoveries highlight deviations from maximization. We try to clarify this pattern with the hypothesis that the success of "maximization-based" inventions reflects the fact that they create incentive structures that facilitate learning to maximize payoff. The value of this hypothesis is demonstrated in studies of the basic properties of decisions from experience, and their relationship to successful social inventions. Contact: erev@tx.technion.ac.il

Session #4 Track III: Impression Management – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Clicktivism or slacktivism? Impression management and moral licensing.

Cornelissen, Gert (Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona); Karelaia, Natalia (INSEAD, France); Soyer, Emre (Ozyegin University, Turkey)

Social media and online activism programs provide ample opportunity to engage in "clicktivism", i.e., expressing moral concern symbolically, at a negligible cost. We propose that engaging in such symbolic activism may undermine individuals' motivation to engage in more tangible other-regarding behavior. We further suggest that impression management concerns explain the effect. Three studies provide support for this effect. Overall, our results suggest that clicktivism can easily turn into "slacktivism." Contact: gert.cornelissen@upf.edu
Humorous Complaining

McGraw, A. Peter (University of Colorado Boulder); Warren, Caleb (Texas A& M University); Kan, Christina (University of Colorado Boulder)

Although complaints document dissatisfaction, some make good comedy. Consistent with the belief that humor is beneficial, we show that humorous complaining helps people who want to warn, entertain, and make a favorable impression on others. Drawing on the benign violation theory, which suggests that humor arises from things that are wrong yet okay, our studies also explain 1) why humor more commonly arises from complaints than praise, and 2) how humor can hurt the complainer. Because humor suggests that a dissatisfying situation is okay, complaining humorously hurts people who want to garner sympathy or motivate others to right a wrong. Contact: peter.mcgraw@colorado.edu

Quitting: The Downside of Great Expectations in Competitions

Tuckfield, Bradford (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Dietvorst, Berkeley (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Milkman, Katherine L. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Schweitzer, Maurice E. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)

Competition is pervasive. Though prior work has highlighted the benefits of being a favorite (a competitor expected to win), we identify a significant drawback. When competitors confront a probable loss, favorites quit competitions at a discontinuously higher rate than underdogs to manage impressions. By quitting, favorites create ambiguity about the underlying cause of their poor performance. We employ a regression discontinuity design with a dataset of 328,425 professional tennis matches to show that favorites who are losing are significantly more likely to quit than underdogs who are losing. We also conduct interviews with athletes to provide support for our theory. Contact: bradfordtuckfield@gmail.com

Impact Aversion: Agency Failure and Decision Bias at High Stakes

Green, Etan (Stanford University Graduate School of Business); Daniels, David (Stanford University Graduate School of Business)

Incentives are thought to solve principal-agent problems and to reduce decision biases. We test these propositions by analyzing over a million decisions made by Major League Baseball umpires. Even though MLB directs and incentivizes umpires to apply a consistent decision rule, we find that every umpire reveals a large aversion to choices that would more strongly change the expected outcome of the game. This impact aversion represents both an agency failure and a decision bias, and it results in distortions that increase with the stakes. Contact: eagreen@stanford.edu

Session #5 Track I: Financial Decision Making – Westin - Salon C

A Fourfold Portrait of Consumer Financial Health

Erner, Carsten (UCLA); Fox, Craig R. (UCLA); Chalekian, John S. (CCRI); De La Rosa, Gabriel (CCRI); Trepel, Christopher (CCRI)

We sought to better understand consumer financial well-being by examining its objective and subjective dimensions over time. We surveyed participants from the general US population in two waves, 1.5 years apart, and find that the objective dimension (prime vs. subprime credit score) and the subjective dimension (positive vs. negative perception of one’s financial situation) define four distinct categories of consumer financial health (unconflicted prime, worried prime, unconflicted subprime, complacent subprime). Membership in each of these categories reveals distinct profiles of decision theoretic measures, personality traits and other individual differences, and predicts movement in credit score over time. Contact: carsten.erner@anderson.ucla.edu

What do people think about when generating subjective probabilities? A study of five health and financial outcomes

Chin, Alycia (Carnegie Mellon University); Bruine de Bruin, Wandi (Carnegie Mellon University and University of Leeds)

Perceptions of probability are thought to be central to decision making. National economic surveys such as the Health and Retirement Study therefore ask participants questions about their perceived probability of working full-time at age 65 or living until certain ages. However, economists question how people form these probabilistic beliefs. In think-aloud interviews and follow-up surveys, we find that respondents spontaneously consider many event-specific factors when generating subjective probabilities. However, they also consistently refer to affect, knowledge, and control - factors which systematically predict probabilities across questions. We draw comparisons to classic JDM theories of probability and risk. Contact: alyciachin@cmu.edu
Sound credit scores and financial decisions despite cognitive aging

Li, Ye (UC Riverside); Gao, Jie (Columbia University); Enkavi, A. Zeynep (Columbia University); Zaval, Lisa (Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia University); Johnson, Eric J. (Columbia University)

Age-related deterioration in cognitive ability may compromise the ability of older adults to make financial decisions. We explore whether knowledge and expertise accumulated from past decisions can offset cognitive decline to maintain decision quality over the lifespan. Using a unique dataset that combines measures of cognitive ability (fluid intelligence) and of general and domain-specific knowledge (crystallized intelligence), credit report data, and other measures of decision quality, we show that domain-specific knowledge and expertise provide an alternative route for sound financial decisions. In sum, cognitive aging does not spell doom for decision-making in domains in which the decision-maker has developed expertise. Contact: yeli23@gmail.com

Pseudo diversification in the stock market: Biases in judgments of investment portfolios

Ayal, Shahar (Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya); Amit, Assaf (Tel Aviv University); David Disatnik (Tel Aviv University); Dan Zakay (Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya)

This research examines situations in which individuals' perceptions of diversification lead them to suboptimal financial decisions. We conducted three studies in which participants were required to choose from investment portfolios with different types of securities. The findings revealed three systematic errors concerning diversification of investment portfolios: (1) a biased perception of multiplicity (i.e., the number of securities in the portfolio), (2) a biased perception of distinctiveness (i.e., the correlations between the securities that form the portfolio), and (3) a preference for over-diversification after being exposed to past information on gains but under-diversification after being exposed to past information on losses. Contact: s.ayal@idc.ac.il

Session #5 Track II: Groups and Opinion Aggregation – Westin - Salon D

More Heads Choose Better Than One: Group Decision Making Eliminates Probability Matching

Schulze, Christin (University of New South Wales); Newell, Ben R. (University of New South Wales)

Probability matching exemplifies a striking failure to adhere to the normative rational strategy in sequential choice. We demonstrate that this fallacy is eradicated entirely by gathering individual decision makers into small groups. Our results provide novel evidence that the proverbial two (or more) heads collectively forming a group choose as accurately as the best individuals and outperform everyone else in repeated choice. The observed group decision making advantage sustained beyond the actual choice task and aided decision makers' post-task strategy evaluations. These findings bear great practical relevance to surmount error prone intuitive fallacies in myriad everyday decisions. Contact: c.schulze@unsw.edu.au

Eliciting and Aggregating Forecasts When Information is Shared

Palley, Asa B. (Duke University, The Fuqua School of Business); Soll, Jack B. (Duke University, The Fuqua School of Business)

Shared information greatly limits the ability of the wisdom of crowds to improve forecast accuracy. We propose augmenting the standard elicitation procedure so that individuals also guess how others will respond. We develop an aggregation method called pivoting, which uses these responses to remove shared-information bias from the crowd forecast. We discuss the results of four studies that test the assumptions of the elicitation method and examine the accuracy of the aggregate forecasts. Overall, the data suggest that the pivoting method can accurately identify the extent to which information is shared and significantly outperforms the simple crowd average. Contact: asa.palley@duke.edu

Trading Strategies and Market Microstructure: Evidence from a Prediction Market

Rothschild, David (Microsoft Research); Sethi, Rajiv (Columbia)

We examine transaction-level data for all trades (nearly 300,000 over 6,300 users), in a set of election-related contracts, in a real-money prediction market. We identify trading strategies that range from arbitrage with low and fleeting directional exposure to strategies involving large accumulated positions. First, most traders and trades are consistently long in a single direction, unlike the information traders in standard models. Second, we present evidence suggestive of market manipulation by a single large trader. These markets are still accurate; information finds its way into prices through the activities of traders who are generally biased in one direction or another. Contact: david@researchdmr.com
Recommender Systems: The Rise of Algorithms
Yeomans, Mike (Chicago Booth); Shah, Anuj (Chicago Booth); Mullainathan, Sendhil (Harvard); Kleinberg, Jon (Cornell)

Before making choices, we often seek out recommendations, especially from people who share our preferences or know us well. More recently, recommendation algorithms have come to stand in for human recommenders. These algorithms might be more efficient, but are they more accurate? In a series of studies, we show that people believe the best recommendations will come from other people. However, recommendation algorithms consistently offer better advice than strangers, close friends, or even spouses. Because human and algorithmic recommendations stem from different types of information, we discuss how they can be combined to improve how recommendations are made. Contact: mk.yeomans@gmail.com

Session #5 Track III: Moral Judgement – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Money Laundering: Reducing Guilt by Disassociation
Imas, Alex (Carnegie Mellon University); Morewedge, Carey K (Boston University); Loewenstein, George (Carnegie Mellon University)

We propose a novel psychological motivation for money laundering--exchanging cash obtained through unethical means for "clean money." We suggest that people exchange "dirty money" for "clean money" to psychologically dissociate the cash from its original source and mitigate consequences of its source associations. We report three experiments whose results suggest that a physical exchange does indeed dissociate unethically obtained gains from their source (mitigating source effects), and that people strategically pursue such money laundering opportunities. Contact: morewedge@gmail.com

Does incidental disgust amplify moral judgment? A meta-analytic review of experimental evidence
Landy, Justin F. (University of Pennsylvania); Goodwin, Geoffrey P. (University of Pennsylvania)

We meta-analyzed all available studies, published and unpublished, of the amplifying effect of incidental disgust on moral judgment. We found a small but significant effect, but also evidence of publication bias. Studies in which disgust was induced using gustatory or olfactory primes showed larger effects than studies that used other types of disgust inductions, and purity violations did not show a larger effect than other sorts of transgressions. We discuss overlooked confounds in this literature, and conclude that our meta-analysis establishes an upper bound on the size of the amplifying effect of disgust on moral judgment. Contact: landyj@psych.upenn.edu

How thinking in a foreign language influences moral judgment
Geipel, Janet (University of Trento); Hadjichristidis, Constantinos (University of Trento); Surian, Luca (University of Trento)

We investigated whether and how moral judgment is influenced by the language in which the information is presented. Participants judged the moral wrongness of several offensive-but-harmless actions, such as consensual incest, either in a foreign language or in their native tongue. We predicted that foreign language would promote a shift in focus from the action to its harmless outcomes. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that foreign language led to less severe moral judgments. This effect was mediated by an attenuation of emotions. We discuss these findings in terms of dual-process theories of moral judgment and draw practical implications. Contact: janet.geipel@unitn.it

Crime… and Punishment? The Effects of Context on Signal Strength and the Consequences for Condemnation
Goldsmith, Kelly (Kellogg); Hershfield, Hal E. (UCLA)

It has been widely recognized that people attempt to justify their behavior, especially when the behavior is self-indulgent and/or violates a personal goal. However, far less is known about if and how individuals might pro-actively utilize elements of the behavioral context in an effort to justify their indiscretions to others a priori. We present nine studies demonstrating that observers' likelihood of condemning an individual for a behavioral indiscretion can vary as a function of largely irrelevant contextual factors, and provide evidence for a shift in signal strength as the underlying mechanism. Contact: kelly-goldsmith@kellogg.northwestern.edu
Lay Rationalism: Individual Differences in Using Reason versus Feelings to Guide Decisions

Hsee, Christopher (University of Chicago); Yang, Yang (Carnegie Mellon University); Zheng, Xingshan (Shanghai Jiao Tong University); Wang, Hanwei (Shanghai Jiao Tong University)

People have a lay notion of rationality—using reason rather than feelings to guide decisions. Yet individuals differ in the degree to which they actually base their decisions on reason versus feelings. The present research (a) introduces the construct of lay rationalism to capture this individual difference variable and distinguishes it from other individual-difference variables, (b) develops a short and easy-to-implement scale to measure lay rationalism and demonstrates the validity and reliability of the scale, and (c) shows that lay rationalism can predict a variety of consumer-relevant behaviors, including product preferences, savings decisions, and donation behaviors.

Contact: chris.hsee@chicagobooth.edu

Matchmaking Promotes Happiness

Anik, Lalin (Duke University Fuqua School of Business); Norton, Michael (Harvard Business School)

Four experiments examine the psychology underlying the proclivity to play "matchmaker." We show that matching others with the goal of creating connections leads to greater happiness and is more intrinsically rewarding than other tasks. We also document "need for closure" is a critical moderator of the rewarding nature of matchmaking. We discuss how connecting others creates capital at the level of the individual (increased happiness) while simultaneously creating social capital at the level of the group (more densely connected social networks).

Contact: lalin.anik@duke.edu

Pain & Preferences: Observed Decisional Conflict & the Convergence of Preferences

Schrift, Rom Y. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Amar, Moty (Ono Academic College)

Decision-making often entails conflict and agony. In many situations the symptoms of decisional-conflict are conspicuous. This paper demonstrates that observing an actor's choice-conflicted makes the observer's preferences converge to those of the actor. For example, in one experiment, participants' real monetary donations converged to those of a confederate that agonized over the decision. Five studies demonstrate that this effect is triggered by a greater sense of empathy and identification with the conflicted actor. Thus, we explore an understudied aspect of social-influence, namely, how observing others' decision-making process (e.g., decisional-conflict), as opposed to observing just its outcome, shapes observers' preferences.

Contact: roms@wharton.upenn.edu

The Flight from Falsifiability: On the Appeal and Construction of Untestable Beliefs

Campbell, Troy (Duke University); Freisen, Justin (York University); Kay, Aaron (Duke University)

Falsifiability and empirical testability are tenants of scientific inquiry, rational thought, proper public policy, and justified societal change. However we find people often resist the concept of falsifiability, including situations where falsifiability is logically relevant, useful, and important on a societal level. Specifically, we find people often desire to view certain issues and desirable beliefs as unfalsifiable and not empirically testable (the "defensive" function of unfalsifiability) and further they end up enjoying certain beliefs more and holding these beliefs with more polarized conviction when such beliefs are seen as relatively more unfalsifiable and empirically untestable (the "offensive" function of unfalsifiability).

Contact: tcampbell@gmail.com
Session #6 Track II: Overconfidence & Misconceptions of Chance – Westin - Salon D

Functional overconfidence: Need for informativeness drives excessive confidence in advice

Hurwitz, Mordechai (Ben Gurion University of the Negev); Haran, Uriel (Ben Gurion University of the Negev); Moran, Simone (Ben Gurion University of the Negev)

Prior research suggests that when providing judgments in social contexts, judges consider needs and constraints related to the communication between them and their judgments' recipients. These factors may be inconsistent with the motivation to maximize the judgment accuracy and calibration. Our research tests whether the influence of such communication constraints depends on the role of the judgment as a decision aid to its recipient. We find that when judgments are to be used as advice for a decision, judges tend to assign more extreme confidence to their high likelihood estimates than when these estimates are produced as mere opinion. Contact: simone@bgu.ac.il

Is Overconfidence Advantageous to Credibility? Resolving the Debate

Tenney, Elizabeth R. (University of Utah)

Scholars agree that decision makers are attracted to confidence. There is conflicting opinion about overconfidence. Some say overconfidence, even when discovered, garners influence from onlookers. Others posit overconfidence is beneficial only when it goes undetected. The current studies resolve the discrepancy by unconfounding effects of overconfidence from confidence. Participants received information about their own or another's calibration and decided whether to use that information to make decisions. Overconfidence, when detected, was detrimental to advisors' credibility, but only when isolated from effects of confidence. Contact: eliz.tenney@gmail.com

Insight into the process behind overconfidence: How laypeople make forecasts from experienced frequencies

Goldstein, Daniel G (Microsoft Research); Rothschild, David (Microsoft Research)

People routinely make forecasts from observed distributions of events. We provided laypeople samples of either 10, 100, or 200 draws from six distributions and asked them to create expected samples of size 10, 100 or 200 using a graphical "histogram building" tool that records the order in which each unit of probability is placed into the answer. The method gives insight into how confidence changes with time and the size of mental samples. We see overconfident (too narrow) distributions disappear as people provide more samples, suggesting a plausible account of why stated intervals are famously too narrow. Contact: Dan@Dangoldstein.com

Misconceptions of Chance: Evidence from an Integrated Experiment

Benjamin, Daniel (Cornell); Moore, Don (UC Berkeley); Rabin, Matthew (UC Berkeley)

This paper describes results of an incentivized experiment investigating biases that jointly imply logically inconsistent beliefs about random samples. We find simultaneous evidence for the gambler's fallacy, for support theory, and for partition dependence. At the same time, we strongly confirm earlier findings of "Non-Belief in the Law of Large Numbers": people vastly exaggerate the likelihood that 1,000-flip samples that would deviate substantially from 50% heads. Because responses to separate questions from the same individuals are logically inconsistent, our experiment rules out a number of alternative, rational interpretations of reported beliefs. Contact: dmoore@haas.berkeley.edu

Session #6 Track III: Goals and Performance – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

The Effects of an Emergency Reserve on Goal Performance

Sharif, Marissa A. (UCLA Anderson School of Management); Shu, Suzanne B. (UCLA Anderson School of Management)

Building off a framework of goals as reference points, we demonstrate that including explicitly defined emergency reserves within goals can improve performance by 1) increasing motivation and 2) increasing persistence through reducing the negative emotion associated with failures. Study 1 demonstrates that participants share our intuitions about the effects of emergency reserves. Study 2 demonstrates that participants with reserves are more motivated in a monotonous typing task than participants without reserves. Lastly, Study 3 demonstrates that participants with reserves are more likely to complete a goal that requires persistence over time than participants without reserves. Presenter: Shu, Suzanne B. (UCLA Anderson School of Management) Contact: marissa.sharif.2016@anderson.ucla.edu
Can Preparing for Failure Reduce the Probability of Success?

Shin, Jihae (Wisconsin School of Business); Milkman, Katherine L. (The Wharton School)

While making a backup plan is commonly assumed to be a wise strategy when a decision maker faces high uncertainty and risk, we show that it can also have negative consequences. Specifically, in this paper, we examine whether and how making a backup plan reduces a decision maker's effort towards her primary goal. Across three studies, we show that making a backup plan can indeed reduce the effort a decision maker exerts to achieve her primary goal. This research provides a fresh perspective on how plan-making influences goal pursuit, highlighting one previously unexplored negative consequence of planning. Contact: jshin@bus.wisc.edu

Loss attention in a dual task setting

Yechiam, Eldad (Technion - Israel Institute of Technology); Hochman, Guy (Duke University)

Using the dual task paradigm, we examined whether the positive effect of losses on performance is mediated by attentional processes. In Study 1, decision performance was compared for a task involving gains or losses, performed alone or as a secondary task. The results showed a significant 40% improvement in performance in the loss condition, but only under resource scarcity, when the task was secondary. In Study 2 the same task was presented as a primary task. Again, losses were associated with improved secondary task performance. Since this secondary task did not include losses, these findings demonstrate an attentional spillover effect. Contact: yeldad@tx.technion.ac.il

People Underestimate the Value of Persistence for Creative Performance

Lucas, Brian (Northwestern University); Nordgren, Loran (Northwestern University)

Four studies investigated the prediction that people underestimate the value of persistence for creative performance. In Studies 1-3 people underestimated how productive they would be while persisting on a range of creative tasks. This effect was attenuated in non-creative tasks (Study 2) and ideas generated while persisting were higher quality than those generated initially (Studies 1-2). Feelings of cognitive disfluency experienced after initial idea generation accounted for the effect (Studies 3) and predicted whether people chose to forgo an opportunity to persist, resulting in lower financial performance (Study 4). Results suggest people undervalue and underutilize persistence in everyday creative problem-solving. Contact: brian.lucas85@gmail.com

Session #7 Track I: Markets and Consumers – Westin - Salon C

Product Retention in Consumer Choice

Weiss, Liad (University of Wisconsin-Madison); Bartels, Dan (University of Chicago)

People regularly make choices about products they already own (e.g., which of two pairs of shoes bought through Zappos.com to retain). However, decision research has focused on cases where choice precedes ownership (acquisition), whereas cases where choice follows ownership (retention) remains understudied. Four studies demonstrate that in product retention (vs. acquisition) people give more weight to person-related features---features people can identify with (e.g., smartness)---rather than to product-related features, which people cannot identify with (e.g., durability). We explore the possibility that this effect ensues because it is easier for people to process person-related information about owned (vs. unowned) objects. Contact: lweiss@bus.wisc.edu

Jeopardy: The Beat-the-Incumbent Choice Process

Liu, Wendy (UCSD); Simonson, Itamar (Stanford)

We examine a choice process that might be used to make a selection among a larger set of options. In the process called Beat-the-Incumbent (BI), in which preference is built gradually through always comparing next options to the incumbent best-so-far, we find that the dual presence of preference instability and preference affirmation play key, and opposing, roles in determining option selection. Specifically, we find that in this choice process, options introduced late are the most advantaged, and the options introduced in the middle the most disadvantaged. Implications for understanding preferences in larger choice sets are discussed. Contact: wendyliu101@hotmail.com

The Behavioral and Economic Impact of Tragedy: Evidence from Restaurants After Mass Shootings in America

Pierce, Lamar (Washington University in St. Louis); Snow, Daniel (Brigham Young University)

This paper examines the impact of tragedies on market demand and social behavior. Using detailed transaction data from over 1200 restaurants, we employ regression discontinuity models based on the exogenous shocks of 15 mass shootings in the United States over the course of three years. Our models find significant negative shocks to consumer demand: total revenue, total customers, and average customer expenditures. We also observe social effects in decreased tipping, smaller dining parties, and reduced employee theft. The impacts are much more pronounced in close proximity to the tragedies. Contact: pierce@wustl.edu
Selling Out: Producer Motives in Markets for Art

Bhattacharjee, Amit (Dartmouth College); Berman, Jonathan Z. (London Business School); Dana, Jason (Yale University); Mellers, Barbara (University of Pennsylvania)

Producers are often evaluated favorably for changing their products to meet consumer needs, but there may be important exceptions. Four studies identify a fundamental distinction between markets for commercial and artistic products. For commercial products, changing in response to consumer demand increases expected quality. In contrast, for artistic products, changing in response to any external demands, even those of expert art critics, reduces expectations of quality. Doing so is regarded as selling out, or compromising standards of artistic integrity for external rewards. Artistic quality is thought to result from pursuing internal artistic standards and ignoring external demands.

Contact: amit.k.bhattacharjee@gmail.com

Session #7 Track II: Confidence and Uncertainty – Westin - Salon D

Known Unknowns Determine Confidence and Calibration

Walters, Daniel J. (UCLA Anderson School of Management); Fox, Craig R. (UCLA Anderson School of Management); Fernbach, Philip M. (Leeds School of Business, University of Colorado); Sloman, Steven A. (Brown University)

Knowledge of what we know and don't know is a critical input for confidence judgments. In five studies we demonstrate people use known unknowns (i.e., judgment-relevant facts that are known to be unknown) as direct inputs to confidence judgments. We find that less sensitivity to known unknowns is associated with greater overconfidence and lower measures of meta-knowledge. We contrast our account with previous accounts that conceive of confidence as a function of evidence for focal versus alternative hypotheses, and find that known unknowns play a distinct and perhaps more fundamental role in determining confidence. Contact: daniel.j.walters@gmail.com

Not by Desire Alone: Cognitive Consistency Contributes to the Desirability Bias

Russo, J. Edward (Cornell University); Corbin, Jonathan (Cornell University)

The desirability bias, or wishful thinking, is the unwarranted elevation of the predicted likelihood of a desired event, such as overpredicting the victory of a favorite sports team. A study using NCAA basketball games tested whether this bias might be partly caused by the desire for cognitive consistency, presumably through moving the prediction toward greater agreement with the preference. Activating the goal of cognitive consistency increased the desirability bias, but only when participants stated their preferences before making predictions. When predictions were given first, enabling participants' subsequent preferences to be consistent with their predictions, the desirability bias was reduced. Contact: jer9@cornell.edu

Making Detailed Predictions Makes Predictions Worse

Kelly, Theresa F. (University of Pennsylvania); Simmons, Joseph P. (University of Pennsylvania)

Predictions are worse when forecasters think of an event as unique ("inside-view" forecasting) than as one case in a class of many similar events ("outside-view" forecasting). Thus, anything that focuses forecasters on an event's unique features should promote inside-view thinking, making predictions worse. Across 20 experiments, 11,346 participants, and 388,652 incentivized predictions of sports events, we find that eliciting specific predictions (e.g., "What will the final score be?") yields worse predictions of the game's winner than eliciting general predictions (e.g., "Who will win?"). Making specific predictions also increases predictions of admittedly unlikely outcomes, a marker of an inside-view, this-time-will-be-different mentality. Contact: thekelly@wharton.upenn.edu

The Power of Uncertainty

Shen, Luxi (Chinese University of Hong Kong); Hsee, Christopher K. (University of Chicago); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago)

Life is full of uncertainty. We document a counter-normative and counter-intuitive effect that people are more likely to repeat an activity if its outcome is uncertain than if it is certain. In a series of studies involving real consequences, we find uncertain payment increases task persistence, and uncertain promotions and prices increase purchase persistence, even if the uncertain outcomes are normatively dominated by the certain alternatives. We explain the findings in terms of curiosity: uncertainty induces curiosity and curiosity drives behavior. Our research enriches the JDM literature on choice under uncertainty. Contact: luxi.shen@chicagobooth.edu
Responsibility Judgments of Wins and Losses in the 2013 Chess Championship

Nordbye, Gro Hege Haraldsen (University of Oslo); Teigen, Karl Halvor (University of Oslo)

Seven samples of lay people and experts made causal responsibility judgments for four hypothetical outcomes (large and small loss/win for each player) of the World Chess Championship in 2013. The winner was viewed as more responsible than the loser, especially for outcomes with large margins. An effect of focal player was also observed, as the Norwegian challenger Carlsen was more responsible for both losses and wins than Anand. However, when outcomes were framed as Anand's (rather than Carlsen's) wins and losses the effect was reduced or reversed, showing that responsibility judgments are affected by the way outcomes are framed. Contact: g.h.h.nordbye@psykologi.uio.no

Mistaking Dissimilar for Dislike: Why We Mispredict Others' Diverse Preferences

Barasz, Kate (Harvard Business School); Kim, Tami (Harvard Business School); John, Leslie (Harvard Business School)

Consumers often hold seemingly antithetical preferences: one may enjoy both classical music and Top 40 pop, rustic lake vacations and chic city getaways. In five studies, we show that while people recognize their own ability to possess diverse and seemingly contradictory preferences, they erroneously believe that others do not. We demonstrate that this prediction error—which we call the preference homogeneity bias—is mediated by a belief that others have a narrower range of tastes than we, ourselves, have. We explore implications for gift-giving, recommendation-taking, and surrogate decision-making. Contact: kbarasz@hbs.edu

Is advice taking Bayesian? Preference similarity effects on advice-taking

Shen, Hang (University of California Riverside); Li, Ye (University of California Riverside)

Consumers increasingly depend on online reviews and word-of-mouth to inform their purchase decisions. We posit that the degree to which people take and seek advice from other consumers is affected by how similar the others' preferences seem to their own. One way to assess preference similarity is to explicitly consider preference matching (PM), or the degree to which two people like or dislike the same products/experiences in the past. We show that people incorporate PM into advice-taking, but tend to underestimate the degree of PM. We also introduce a method to compare advice-taking with Bayesian updating as a normative standard. Contact: mr.hangshen@gmail.com

From Basketball to Politics: Expertise, Covariation Bias, and Extremity of Evaluation

Linville, Patricia (Duke University); Fischer, Gregory (Duke University); Zauberman, Gal (University of Pennsylvania)

How does objective expertise about a judgment domain (basketball, politics) affect the extremity of overall evaluations of individual members of the domain - i.e. the degree to which a person makes large distinctions in their evaluations? In both basketball and politics, we show that those with greater expertise perceive greater variability and less covariation among the features describing members of the domain. We also show that greater perceived variability and covariation of features lead to more extreme evaluations. Finally we find that greater expertise leads to less bias to over perceive evaluatively consistent covariation among features of domain members. Presenter: Fischer, Gregory (Duke University) Contact: linville@duke.edu
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The Dynamics of Information Framing: Motivating Energy Conservation Behavior

Asensio, Omar I. (UCLA); Delmas, Magali A. (UCLA)

Little is known about the effect of message framing on conservation behavior over time. In a randomized controlled trial with residential consumers, we test how different messages about household energy use impact the dynamics of conservation behavior down to the appliance level. We find that effectiveness of repeated messages on conservation behavior varies with the framing of these messages. Using a health-based frame, in which consumers consider the human health effects of their marginal electricity use, induced persistent energy savings behavior; whereas using a more traditional cost savings frame, drove sharp attenuation of treatment effects over time. Contact: omar.asensio@ucla.edu
Framing, political ideology, and energy choices

Gromet, Dena (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Kunreuther, Howard (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)

Framing energy innovations as reducing negative aspects versus increasing positive aspects of energy use interacted with political ideology to influence energy choices. Liberal homeowners were more inclined than conservatives to learn about solar power when "reduce" messages were used; this ideological divergence was lessened with "increase" messages (Studies 1 and 2). This effect is primarily driven by the personal obligation conveyed by reduce messages, which resonates more with liberals than conservatives. Liberal adoption of energy efficiency was negatively affected when combining an increase message emphasizing personal benefit over obligation with the additional benefit of a price discount (Study 3). Contact: denag@wharton.upenn.edu

Whether You Are Framed Depends On How You Ask (Yourself): Query Theory and the Asian Disease Problem

Crookes, Raymond D. (Columbia University); Wall, Daniel (Columbia University); Johnson, Eric J. (Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia University)

The Asian Disease problem is the canonical example of outcome framing effects in risky choice, yet little is known about the psychological processes giving rise to this phenomenon. In Studies 1 and 2, we demonstrate that, as predicted by query theory, framing of outcomes affects internal search patterns (i.e., query order for support for the safe vs. the risky option), and these patterns mediate the effect of frame on choice. In Study 3, we attenuate the effect of frame on choice by altering the order of queries. Results show how individuals can take steps to inoculate themselves against cognitive biases. Contact: rc2698@columbia.edu

The Offer Framing Effect

Mittelman, Mauricio (Universidad Torcuato Di Tella); Andrade, Eduardo B. (Brazilian School of Public and Business Administration, FGV); Chattopadhyay, Amitava (INSEAD); Brendl, Miguel (Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University)

Choice of multiple items can be framed as a selection of single offerings (e.g., choice of two individual candy bars) or bundled offerings (e.g., choice of a bundle of two candy bars). In this article, four experiments provide strong evidence that consumers seek more variety when choosing from single than from bundled offerings. The offer framing effect shows that the mechanics of choosing--the way consumers go about making choices of multiple items--affects preferences for variety. The collected data also suggest that the effect is largely due to the single than the bundled offering frame. Contact: mmittelman@utdt.edu

Session #8 Track II: Health and Medicine – Westin - Salon D

Delays in computing health information and inhibiting taste information independently influence the ability to choose healthy foods

Hutcherson, Cendri (California Institute of Technology); Sullivan, Nicolette (California Institute of Technology); Rangel, Antonio (California Institute of Technology)

What makes healthy eating so hard? We hypothesized that part of the problem resides in the slowness with which the brain implements different components of self-control. Using a recently-developed method for dynamically tracking and modeling the decision-process with computer-mouse movements (Sullivan, Hutcherson, Harris, & Rangel, under revision), we find evidence that 1) the brain computes and uses health-related information more slowly; 2) this "health-processing delay" contributes to an initial difficulty in quickly exerting self-control; 3) initial difficulties can be overcome by "changes of mind" that reflect both a gradual enhancement of health-processing and inhibition of taste-processing as decision-time increases. Contact: chutcher@psych.stanford.edu

Information distortion in medical diagnosis: bolstering a leading diagnosis or denigrating its competitor?

Nurek, Martine (King's College London); Kostopoulou, Olga (King's College London); Hagmayer, York (University of Gottingen)

We investigated the processes underlying predecisional information distortion in diagnostic judgment. Across two samples of family physicians and two methods for measuring distortion, our finding was robust: physicians did not overestimate the extent to which information supported their leading diagnosis ("bolstering") but underestimated its support for the competing diagnosis ("denigration"). Physicians differed in their tendency to bolster vs. denigrate, with a minority exhibiting predominantly bolstering. Theories of cognitive consistency would predict both bolstering and denigration. Our finding of denigration, however, could be specific to physicians, who are trained to exclude competing diagnostic hypotheses rather than confirm a leading one. Contact: martine.nurek@kcl.ac.uk
The Impact of Time at Work and Time off from Work on Rule Compliance: The Case of Hand Hygiene in Healthcare

Dai, Hengchen (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Milkman, Katherine L. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Hofmann, David A. (The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill); Staats, Bradley R. (The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)

Analyzing three years of electronic records from 35 hospitals documenting 4,157 healthcare workers' hand hygiene decisions (N = 13.7 million), we show that caregivers' compliance with hand hygiene guidelines drops steadily as their work shifts advance, and this decline is accelerated by increased work intensity. Also, more time off between consecutive work shifts improves compliance, and these boosts are greater for individuals when they ended their preceding shift with a lower compliance rate. These findings highlight how depletion and replenishment can affect an important, real-world behavior with implications for the wellbeing both of the medical professionals studied and their patients. Contact: Hengchen@wharton.upenn.edu

Improving decision making in the emergency department with simple decision aids

Jenny, Mirjam A. (Max Planck Institute for Human Development) Hertwig, Ralph (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Bingisser, Roland (University Hospital Basel)

Patients presenting to emergency physicians (EPs) with nonspecific complaints pose a challenge to the medical decision-making process, and the seriousness of their condition is often misjudged. EPs' judgments and subsequent decisions (e.g., does the patient need immediate care?) thus need to be improved. Using a sample of approximately 1,300 emergency department patients, we developed a fast-and-frugal decision tree (FFT) and compared its ability to predict morbidity with that of 19 state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms. In cross-validation, the FFT performed as well as the best machine learning algorithms. Thus, accurate and user-friendly FFTs could improve decision making in the emergency department. Contact: jenny@mpib-berlin.mpg.de

Session #8 Track III: Social Decisions 2 – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Many Hands Make Overlooked Work: Overclaiming of Responsibility Increases with Group Size

Schroeder, Juliana (University of Chicago); Caruso, Eugene M. (University of Chicago); Epley, Nicholas (University of Chicago)

Logically, group members cannot be responsible for more than 100% of the group’s output, and yet claims of responsibility routinely sum to more than 100%. This “overclaiming” occurs partly because of egocentrism: People focus on their own contributions more than on others’ contributions. We therefore predicted that overclaiming would increase with group size, because larger groups leave more contributions from others to overlook. In four field studies and experiments, we provide evidence for the predicted effect, explore its moderators, and consider its consequences. Members of larger groups may be particularly well-advised to consider other members’ contributions before considering their own. Contact: jschroe1@chicagobooth.edu

The ties that bind (strangers): A minimal relationship is a necessary condition for “irrational” trust

Anderson, Joanna E. (Cornell University); David Dunning (Cornell University); Detlef Fethchenhauer (University of Cologne)

People typically expect that anonymous peers will, if trusted with money, betray that trust. Despite this cynical belief, they often choose to trust anyway—a seemingly irrational behavior driven by a norm to respect others’ moral character regardless of private beliefs (Dunning, Anderson, Schlösser, Ehlebracht, & Fethchenhauer, 2014). Our current work suggests that although people follow this norm—and therefore trust—very early in a relationship, they are not indiscriminately trusting. Across three studies, we found that a minimal relationship exists between trustee and trustee by virtue of their pairing, and it is necessary for trust to flourish. Contact: janderson@cornell.edu

What Is The Matrix? Insider Blindness In Strategy Perception

Daniels, David P. (Stanford Graduate School of Business); Nakashima, Nathaniel A. (Stanford Graduate School of Business); Halevy, Nir (Stanford Graduate School of Business)

Standard game-theoretic models assume the game is common knowledge; small departures from common knowledge can generate dramatic equilibrium outcomes, e.g. self-fulfilling financial crises. Common knowledge implies that agents perceive the game identically. We experimentally investigate the perceived game matrix and find that players, but not third-party "outsiders," perceive fewer options for others than for themselves, contradicting common knowledge. We explain this insider blindness with a behavioral model in which bounded rationality and self-interest cause agents to prioritize consideration of their own strategy sets over other players' strategy sets. Insider blindness implies that an "outside view" can improve strategic decision-making. Contact: ddaniels@stanford.edu
The Winner Takes it All

Schurr, Amos (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Ritov, Ilana (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem)

We examine the effect of winning a competition on people's ethicality. In Studies 1 and 2 competitors that won or recalled winning a match were significantly more likely to over-claim money from their counterparts in a subsequent experiment. This tendency reversed when winning happened by chance (Study 3) or when winning required meeting a threshold rather than beating a counterpart (Study 4). In these two experiments, losers were significantly more likely to over-claim money from their counterparts. Finally, Study 5 indicates that a possible mechanism underlying this effect is heightened sense of entitlement among winners. Contact: samos@post.bgu.ac.il

Session #9 Track I: Consumption over Time – Westin - Salon C

Waiting for Merlot: Anticipatory Consumption of Experiential and Material Purchases

Kumar, Amit (Cornell University); Killingsworth, Matthew A. (University of California, San Francisco, Berkeley); Gilovich, Thomas D. (Cornell University)

People derive utility from anticipation. We demonstrate in a series of studies that waiting adds more to the enjoyment of experiential purchases (money spent on doing) than material purchases (money spent on having). Using questionnaires involving a variety of actual purchases, a large-scale experience-sampling study, and an archival analysis of news stories about people waiting in line to make a purchase, we show that anticipation tends to be more pleasant for experiences than possessions, and that it feels more like excitement than impatience. Thoughts about future experiential consumption are also associated with more happiness than those of future material consumption. Contact: ak756@cornell.edu

A “present” for the future: The unexpected value of rediscovery

Zhang, Ting (HBS); Kim, Tami (HBS); Brooks, Alison Wood (HBS); Gino, Francesca (HBS); Norton, Michael (HBS)

Although documenting everyday activities may seem trivial, three studies reveal that creating records of the present generates unexpected benefits by allowing future rediscoveries. Using a "time capsule paradigm," Study 1 shows that individuals underestimate the extent to which rediscovering experiences from the past will be curiosity-provoking and interesting in the future. People are particularly likely to underestimate the pleasure of rediscovering ordinary experiences compared to extraordinary experiences (Study 2). Mispredicting the value of rediscovery leads to time-inconsistent choices: individuals forgo opportunities to document the present but then prefer to rediscover those moments in the future (Study 3). Contact: tingzhang4820@yahoo.com

Preferences over Sequences—Empirical Results from Musical Sequences

Baucells, Manel (USC); Smith, Daniel (University of Mannheim); Weber, Martin (University of Mannheim)

To test a prediction of the satiation model, namely that optimal sequences are U-shaped, we collect two novel data sets of musical sequences from social media (Wikipedia and Last.fm). The data set encompasses 1,082 articles on concerts, 15,000 Wikipedia articles on individual songs, and 1.5 million playlists generated by Last.fm users, including markers of favorite songs. Results tie very closely with the prediction. A significant U-shaped pattern is visible in the data, with the higher-ranked or individually preferred songs being placed at the initial and the final point in the sequence, and a relatively flat shape in the middle. Contact: mbaucells@gmail.com

Affective Experience over Time: The Role of Segregation and Counting Direction

Tsai, Claire I. (University of Toronto); Zhao, Min (University of Toronto)

People often predict that positive events will become more enjoyable when they are segregated into separate activities than when they are kept as integrated events. This research demonstrated that counting direction moderated the effect of segregation on actual enjoyment. Specifically, segregation increased (decreased) enjoyment when the constituent activities were counted downward (upward). The authors postulate that downward counting increases scarcity, causing people to savor the end experience. Upward counting, however, reduces enjoyment by triggering a cognitive belief about habituation (a 10th bite of chocolate is less tasty than the 1st bite) and reducing savoring. Contact: claire.tsai@rotman.utoronto.ca
Natural Hazards and Climate Change as Dread Risk

Fox-Glassman, Katherine (Columbia University); Weber, Elke (Columbia University)

We update and expand upon Fischhoff et al.’s (1978) use of psychometric procedures to quantify perception of risk for common technologies and activities. Study 1 replicates the 1978 methodology and results, showing how attitudes toward technologies and climate change, reducing to similar dread and unknown risk dimensions as in the original study. Study 4 shows that while nearly all natural hazards are considered more dread than technological risks, climate change is viewed as less dread than electric power or smoking. Contact: kit2111@columbia.edu

The Origins of Domain Specific Risk Taking - Evidence From Twin Study and Meta-Analyses

Wang, X.T. (University of South Dakota); Zheng, Rui (Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences); Xuan, Yanhua (Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences); Li, Shu (Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences)

We search for genetic and environmental contributions to human domain-specific risk taking. Using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, we first developed a risk-taking scale with seven domains (i.e., Cooperation/competition, Recreational risk, Safety control, Reproduction, Moral risk, Financial risk, and Gambling). Second, we conducted a twin study partitioning the relative contributions of genetics and environment to risk propensity in each domain. Third, we synthesized our results with the literature of twin studies of risk taking by conducting seven separate exploratory meta-analyses across risk domains to map heritability measures of the seven domains against each other. Contact: xtwang@usd.edu

Prioritizing moderate vs. severe risks: Evidence from a national program with flood insurance policies

Schwartz, Daniel (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Collier, Benjamin (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Kunreuther, Howard (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Michel-Kerjan, Erwann (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)

We analyze a large dataset of flood insurance policies, studying two choices people make: size of deductible and coverage limits. We test models of decision making under uncertainty and determine homeowners decision processes. Normatively, people should protect their homes against severe losses rather than moderate ones. The data suggest that many homeowners prefer to be protected against relative small losses, rather than paying for more coverage in flood prone areas. We suggest that even though these insurance policies represent protection against one type of hazard (flood), people treat deductible size and coverage limits as separate decisions with unrealistic loss probabilities. Contact: danielsp2318@gmail.com

Is canceling a fire insurance policy riskier than not having one? Differences in perception of Active vs. Passive risk taking

Bereby-Meyer, Yoella (Ben Gurion University of the Negev); Keinan, Ruty (University of the Negev)

Passive risk taking (PRT) is foregoing an opportunity to act in order to reduce outcome variance. PRT shows divergent validity from classic risk taking construct such as sensation seeking, and convergent validity with tendencies previously not linked to risk taking, such as procrastination. In 4 experiments, using hypothetical scenarios, we demonstrate that passive risks are evaluated as less risky compared to identical, but actively taken risks. A reduced sense of accountability may be partly responsible for this effect, as results show that people are held less accountable for damages caused by passive risk taking, and that accountability mediates this effect. Contact: yoella@bgu.ac.il

Session #9 Track III: Choice – Westin - Barcelona/Casablanca

Looks like a bad sign: Illusory negative correlation between the outcomes of choice options

Marciano-Romm, Deborah (Hebrew University of Jerusalem); Romm, Assaf (Harvard University); Bourgeois-Gironde, Sacha (Universite Paris 2, Institut Jean-Nicod); Deouell, Leon Y. (Hebrew University of Jerusalem)

In three experiments, subjects repeatedly chose between two uncertain options, and received feedback on the outcomes of both the chosen and the alternative options. We hypothesized that people see a good (bad) alternative's outcome as a bad (good) sign regarding their outcome, when the two are actually uncorrelated. In Experiments 1 and 2 the alternative's outcome was presented first, and we assessed the individuals' prediction of their outcome. Subjects acted as if the two outcomes were negatively correlated, and this affected subsequent behavior. In Experiment 3, when subjects predicted the alternative's outcome after seeing their own, the effect disappeared. Contact: dvorah.marciano@gmail.com
Can There Be Too Much Choice? Empirical Explorations of Theoretical Predictions

Jessup, Ryan K. (Abilene Christian University); Ritchie, Levi E. (Abilene Christian University); Homer, John (Abilene Christian University)

The choice overload or too much choice effect sprang up as a counter to the notion that more options to choose from is always better. Jessup et al. (2009) used decision field theory and uncovered two explanations that could theoretically predict the effect. However, a large meta-analysis suggested that no such effect existed (Scheibehenne et al., 2010). We empirically tested the predictions made by decision field theory and found that time pressure increased the choice overload effect but not decision difficulty. In addition to the novel findings, these results also indicate the value of linking empiricism with theory-driven approaches. Contact: ryan.jessup@acu.edu

Transitivity in Context: A Rational Analysis of Intransitive Choice and Context-Dependent Preference

Muller-Trede, Johannes (Rady School of Management, UCSD); Sher, Shlomi (Pomona College); Craig R.M. McKenzie (Rady School of Management, UCSD)

Prior demonstrations of apparent intransitivity can be explained by models in which preferences are always transitive but randomly vary over time. Such models imply that choice data will conform to conditions known as triangle inequalities. We examine a broader class of models in which decision makers draw reasonable inferences from the choice context that systematically influence their preferences. These models generate intransitive cycles that violate the triangle inequalities even when preferences are always transitive. In two experiments, the predicted intransitive cycles were observed. These results constitute the first clear empirical violations of the triangle inequalities. Contact: jmullertrede@ucsd.edu

A neural indicator of response competition in risky decision making

Frame, Mary E. (Miami University); Johnson, Joseph G. (Miami University); Thomas, Robin D. (Miami University)

Two experiments used the lateralized readiness potential (LRP) to establish a neurological basis for response competition in subjective preferences. Affectively-valenced pictures and monetary gambles were stimuli for Experiment 1 and 2, respectively. Experiment 1 showed that the LRP is capable of measuring preparatory motor activity underlying the dynamic accumulation of subjective preference in premotor cortex. There was greater response competition when participants chose between similarly valenced images through a reduced amplitude LRP and Gratton dip preceding an overt response. In Experiment 2, a similar pattern of results was found when participants selected between gambles with a high coefficient of variation. Contact: frameme@miamioh.edu

SJDM Morning Poster Session & Book Auction

w/Continental Breakfast - Grand Ballroom - Convention Center

1) Qualifying faults - The role of professionalism and accountability in bidding procedures
Schurr, Amos (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Dekel, Omer (College of Law and Business); Moran, Simone (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev)

2) Taking smarter risks in a foreign language
Hayakawa, Sayuri (University of Chicago); Corey, Joanna (Universitat Pompeu Fabra); Foucart, Alice (Universitat Pompeu Fabra); Costa, Albert (Universitat Pompeu Fabra); Keysar, Boaz (University of Chicago)

3) Intuitive preference at a flip of a coin: Congruency between Preferences and Salience
Morvinski, Coby (University of California, San Diego (UCSD) - Rady School of); Amir, On (University of California, San Diego (UCSD) - Rady School of Management)

4) Tea, Coffee, and Caffeinated Cognition
Chan, Eugene Y. (University of Technology, Sydney); Maglio, Sam J. (University of Toronto Scarborough)

5) Pacioli or Pascal: The Use of Probability in Solving the Problem of Points
Haase, Niels (University of Erfurt, Germany); Renkewitz, Frank (University of Erfurt, Germany)

6) Strong and weak illusions of control in a novel laboratory task
Tobias-Webb, Juliette (The University of Cambridge); Aitken, Mike RF (The University of Cambridge); Clark, Luke (The University of Cambridge)
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Braga, João (University of Lisbon); Ferreira, Mário (University of Lisbon); Sherman, Steven (Indiana University); Mata, André (University of Heidelberg)

The effect of construal level on heuristic reasoning: the case of availability and representativeness

Braga, João (University of Lisbon); Ferreira, Mário (University of Lisbon); Sherman, Steven (Indiana University)

Statistical Properties of Natural Environments Support Simple Decision Rules

Simsek, Ozgur (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

The Effects of Social and Performance Pressures on Strategy Selection for Decisions Made Under Uncertainty

Huffling, S. Kyle (Auburn University); Franco-Watkins, Ana M. (Auburn University)

Metacognitive Mechanisms of External Search Termination Decisions

Illingworth, David (Georgia Institute of Technology); Thomas, Rick (Georgia Institute of Technology)

The benefits of payoff variability on adaptation to change

Ashby, Nathaniel J. S. (Carnegie Mellon University); Gonzalez, Cleotilde (Carnegie Mellon University)

Would You Rather Be a Big Frog in a Small Pond? Examining Cultural Variation in Competition Entry Decisions

Wu, Kaidi (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor); Garcia, Stephen, M. (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor); Kopelman, Shirli (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor)

Social Recycling: Bringing Happiness to Waste Disposal

Donnelly, Grant, E. (Harvard Business School); Lamberton, Cait Poynor (University of Pittsburgh); Norton, Michael I. (Harvard Business School); Reczek, Rebecca (Ohio State University)

The strong, the weak and the lucky: The influence of the winner resolution rule on investments in asymmetric contests

Hart, Einav (Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel); Avrahami, Judith (Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel); Kareev, Yaakov (Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel)
159) Consumer Benevolence and Risk Taking  
Mukherjee, Sudipta (Scheller College of Business, Georgia Tech); Bond, Samuel D. (Scheller College of Business, Georgia Tech)

160) Now Reverse Everything: Detecting deception with a response conflict-based cognitive load manipulation  
McCormick, Erin N. (Carnegie Mellon University); Kassam, Karim S. (Carnegie Mellon University); Cohen, Taya R. (Carnegie Mellon University); Osherson, Daniel N. (Princeton University)

161) Developing a Method for Assessing Safety Judgments & Decisions in ATC  
Pounds, Julia C. (FAA); Galoci, Lisa M. (FAA)

162) Subjective Numeracy and Responsiveness to the Valence of Medical Risk Information  
Friedrich, James (Willamette University); Nanry, Megan E. (Willamette University); Wenger, Jonathan (Willamette University)

163) Interpretation of Home HIV Test Results: Risk Literacy and Information Search  
Ellis, Katrina M. (Michigan Technological University); Cokely, Edward T. (Michigan Technological University); Ghazal, Saima (Michigan Technological University); Garcia-Retamero, Rocio (University of Granada)

164) Risky Business: How Humor Increases Status  
Bitterly, T. Bradford (Wharton); Brooks, Alison W. (Harvard Business School); Schweitzer, Maurice E. (Wharton)

165) Sample Size Bias in Judgments of Average Duration  
Rule, Shanon (Appalachian State University); Smith, Andrew R. (Appalachian State University); Price, Paul C. (California State University, Fresno)

166) The influence of time pressure on the phantom effect in multi-attribute decision making  
Tsuzuki, Takashi (Rikkyo University); Chiba, Itsuki (Rikkyo University); Soma, Masashi (Rikkyo University)

167) Happiness and Arousal: How You Ask About Happiness Influences Older and Younger Adults Differently  
Bjälkebring, Pär (University of Gothenburg); Västfjäll, Daniel (Linkoping University); Johansson, Boo (University of Gothenburg)

168) First-impression bias in medical diagnosis: a process tracing study of family physicians  
Kostopoulou, Olga (King's College London); Sirotta, Miroslav (King's College London); Round, Thomas (King's College London); Harrison, Samantha (King's College London)

169) Lucky 15: Application of Fast and Slow Thinking Theory to Voting in Bulgaria  
Hull, Jennifer (ISPP); Rickspoone, Lucy (ISPP)

170) Improving Accuracy in Bayesian Inference Problems through Training  
Talboy, Alaina N. (University of South Florida); Schneider, Sandra L. (University of South Florida)

171) Deferral of Important Decisions  
Krijnen, Job M. T. (Tilburg University); Zeelenberg, Marcel (Tilburg University); Breugelmans, Seger M. (Tilburg University, Moscow Higher School of Economics)

172) Group Leader’s Sanctioning Behavior in Social Dilemma  
Han, Kyueun (Yonsei University, South Korea); Sohn, Youngwoo (Yonsei University, South Korea)

173) Analogies Predict Dynamic Decision Making Strategies  
Vietz, Melanie (Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg); Damaskinos, Melanie (Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg); Dörner, Dietrich (Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg); Güß, C. Dominik (University of North Florida)

174) Tracking eye movements to reveal memory processes during rule- versus similarity-based decision making  
Scholz, Agnes (Technische Universität Chemnitz); von Helversen, Bettina (University of Basel); Rieskamp, Jörg (University of Basel)

175) The Context of Meaningful Numbers Changes Jury’s Awards: The Role of Gist in Jury Award Decisions  
Corbin, Jonathan C. (Cornell); Reyna, Valerie F. (Cornell); Hans, Valerie P. (Cornell); Helm, Rebecca K. (Cornell)

176) Optimal Predictions Without Knowledge of Empirical Priors  
Lindskog, Marcus (Uppsala University); Schultzberg, Mårten (Uppsala University); Engberg, Alexander (Uppsala University)
Preference consistency relies on hippocampal function: Evidence from mediotemporal lobe epilepsy
Weber, Bernd (Center for Economics and Neuroscience, University of Bonn); Enkavi, Ayse Z. (Columbia University); Zweyer, Iris (Center for Economics and Neuroscience, University of Bonn); Wagner, Jan (Department of Epileptology, University Hospital Bonn); Elger, Christian E. (Center for Economics and Neuroscience, University of Bonn); Weber, Elke U.; Johnson, Eric J.

Language invariance in judgments of risk and benefit
Hadjichristidis, Constantinos (University of Trento); Geipel, Janet (University of Trento); Savadori, Lucia (University of Trento)

SJDM Evening Poster Session & Book Auction
5:30pm – 7:30pm  Cash Bar - Grand Ballroom - Convention Center

1) Risk Taking and Audience Effects: Does Social Orientation Matter?
Rule, Shanon (Appalachian State University); Smith, Andrew R. (Appalachian State University)

2) Prospect Evaluation as a Function of Numeracy and Probability Denominator
Millroth, Philip (Ph.D Student); Juslin, Peter (Professor)

3) Anchoring Effects on Earliest Autobiographical Memories
Mugayar-Baldocchi, Marino (College of Charleston); Bishara, Anthony J. (College of Charleston); Greenberg, Daniel L. (College of Charleston)

4) Beyond Reminding and Salience Explanations in Support Theory: Evidence for Nonmonotonic Aggregation
Summers, Barbara (Leeds University Business School); Hadjichristidis (University of Trento)

5) Predictive performance of simple heuristics and constrained additive strategies
Gula, Bartosz (Department of Psychology, University of Klagenfurt, Austria); Vitouch, Oliver (Department of Psychology, University of Klagenfurt, Austria)

6) Influences on Charitable Giving
Koutmeridou, Kiki (Instinctiv, Brightsource)

7) When Do People Prefer Carrots to Sticks (and Vice Versa)? A “Matching Principle” in Evaluations of Formally-Identical Policies
Evers, Ellen R. K. (Tilburg University, TIBER); Inbar, Yoel (Tilburg University, TIBER); Blanken, Irene (Tilburg University, TIBER); Oosterwijk, Linda (Tilburg University)

8) Risk-Taking Tendencies in Prisoners and Nonprisoners: Does Gender Matter?
Wichary, Szymon (University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Warsaw); Pachur, Thorsten (Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin); Li, Mengduo (University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Warsaw)

9) Patterns and Changes in Proleader and Antitrailer Information Distortion
Erford, Breann M. (The Ohio State University); DeKay, Michael L. (The Ohio State University)

10) Extension of the General Monotone Model to Non-Monotonic Data
Lawrence-Huizenga, Ashley (Georgia Institute of Technology); Thomas, Rick (Georgia Institute of Technology); Dougherty, Michael (University of Maryland)

11) Sadness Reduces Decisiveness
Pereira, Beatriz (Iowa State University); Rick, Scott I. (University of Michigan)

12) Effect of the target of comparison in the standard anchoring paradigm
Bahnik, Stepan (University of Würzburg); Strack, Fritz (University of Würzburg)

13) How framing judgments of value affects willingness-to-pay
Shaddy, Franklin (University of Chicago Booth School of Business); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago Booth School of Business)

14) Stress during learning impairs probability estimation
Chrabaszcz, Jeffrey S. (UMD); Dougherty, Michael R. (UMD); Bolger, Donald J. (UMD); Calloway, Regina (UMD); Brown, Nicholas (UMD)
15) Cognitive Reflection Predicts the Acceptance of an Unfair Offer in the Ultimatum Game
Calvillo, Dustin P. (California State University San Marcos); Burgeno, Jessica (California State University San Marcos)

16) A Fuzzy-Trace Theory Approach to Numeracy and Framing: Objective Numeracy Predicts Smaller Framing Bias but Gist Numeracy Predicts Larger Framing Bias
Corbin, Jonathan C. (Cornell); Reyna, Valerie F. (Cornell); Brust-Renck, Priscila G. (Cornell); Wilhelms, Evan A. (Cornell); Setton, Roni A. (Cornell)

17) Verbatim reasoning distinguishes risky decision making in juvenile delinquents versus age-matched controls: A fuzzy-trace theory approach
Helm, Rebecca K. (Cornell University); Reyna, Valerie F. (Cornell University); Corbin, Jonathan C. (Cornell University); Wilhelms, Evan A. (Cornell University); Weldon, Rebecca B. (Cornell University)

18) Newcomb's paradox: from thought experiment to reality
Tabatabaeian, Maryam (University of California Merced); Pilkington, Shaun (University of Louisiana Lafayette); Dale, Rick (University of California Merced)

19) Confirmation bias in the lay-people perception of financial expertise
Zaleskiewicz, Tomasz (University of Social Sciences and Humanities); Gasiorowska, Agata (University of Social Sciences and Humanities); Bar-Tal, Yoram (Tel-Aviv University); Stasiuk, Katarzyna (Maria Curie Sklodowska University); Maksymiuk, Renata (Maria Curie Sklodowska University)

20) Forecasters are more likely to choose predictions from algorithms instead of humans when deciding for many forecasts
Dietvorst, Berkeley J. (The Wharton School); Simmons, Joseph P. (The Wharton School); Massey, Cade (The Wharton School)

21) Does Ease Mediate the Ease-of-Retrieval Effect? A Mediation-Based Meta-Analysis
Weingarten, Evan (University of Pennsylvania); Hutchinson, J. Wesley (University of Pennsylvania)

22) Aggregating multiple probability intervals to improve calibration
PARK, SAEMI (FORDHAM UNIV); BUDESCU, DAVID (FORDHAM UNIV)

Wilhelms, Evan A. (Cornell University); Reyna, Valerie F. (Cornell University); Corbin, Jonathan C. (Cornell University); Helm, Rebecca K. (Cornell University)

24) Visceral needs and donation decisions: Do people identify with suffering or with relief?
Harel, Inbal (Ben-Gurion University); Kogut, Tehila (Ben-Gurion University)

25) A Recipe for Friendship: Similarity in Food Consumption Promotes Affiliation and Trust
Woolley, Kaitlin (University of Chicago); Fishbach, Ayelet (University of Chicago)

26) Aging and Confidence Judgments in Item Recognition
Voskuilen, Chelsea (The Ohio State University); Ratcliff, Roger (The Ohio State University); McKoon, Gail (The Ohio State University)

27) Sleep restriction, circadian mismatch, and Bayesian choice: Preliminary results from an ecologically valid sleep manipulation protocol.
Dickinson, David (Economics, Appalachian State University); McElroy, Todd (Psychology, Appalachian State University); Stroh, Nathan (Psychology, Appalachian State University)

28) Risk judgments pay off
Kobbeltvedt, Therese (Norwegian School of Economics); Schei, Vidar (Norwegian School of Economics); Sandvik, Alexander (Norwegian School of Economics); Aanes, Mette (Bergen University College)

29) Testing assumptions of multiple-cue judgment models by investigating brain activity overlap between instructed and spontaneously adopted models.
Karlsson, Linnea (Umeå center for Functional Brain Imaging, Umeå University); Stillesjö, Sara (Umeå center for Functional Brain Imaging, Umeå University); Eriksson, Johan (Umeå center for Functional Brain Imaging, Umeå University); Juslin, Peter (Department of Psychology, Uppsala University); Nyberg, Lars (Umeå center for Functional Brain Imaging, Umeå University)
30) When payoffs look like probabilities: Reversals of perception and preference
Müller-Trede, Johannes (Rady School of Management, UCSD); Sher, Shlomi (Pomona College); McKenzie, Craig R.M. (Rady School of Management, UCSD)

31) Using analogies to improve understanding and HR decision-making
Zhang, Don (Bowling Green State University); Highhouse, Scott (Bowling Green State University); Wood, Nicole (Bowling Green State University); Rada, Thaddeus (Bowling Green State University)

32) Comparing our health: How do beliefs about others influence our judgments?
Zhang, Don (Bowling Green State University); Highhouse, Scott (Bowling Green State University); Wood, Nicole (Bowling Green State University); Rada, Thaddeus (Bowling Green State University)

33) Be Healthy for Fun: Immediate Incentives Influence Persistence on Self-Control Behaviors
Zhang, Don (Bowling Green State University); Highhouse, Scott (Bowling Green State University); Wood, Nicole (Bowling Green State University); Rada, Thaddeus (Bowling Green State University)

34) How Can We Optimally Reward Exercise and Build Lasting Habits?: A Field Experiment
Tuckfield, Bradford (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Milkman, Katherine L. (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania); Gino, Francesca (Harvard Business School); John, Leslie K. (Harvard Business School)

35) Comparing preference parameters of risk taking across laboratory tasks: How stable, generalizable and predictive are they?
Pedroni, Andreas (Economic Psychology, University of Basel, Switzerland); Frey, Renato (Center for Adaptive Reality, Max Planck Institute for Human); Bruhin, Adrian (University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland); Dutilh, Gilles (Economic Psychology, University of Basel, Switzerland); von Helversen, Bettina (Economic Psychology, University of Basel, Switzerland); Hertwig, Ralph (Center for Adaptive Reality, Max Planck Institute for Human); Rieskamp, Jörg, Economic Psychology, University of Basel, Switzerland

36) Reliability, Robustness and Boundary Conditions of the Description-Experience Gap
Rosenbaum, Gail M. (Temple University); Chein, Jason M. (Temple University); Venkatraman, Vinod (Temple University)

37) Only Halfway to the Top Yet in a Golden Position: Why Both the Powerless and the Powerful are Drawn to a Rising Star.
van Gils, Michelle (KU Leuven); Rucker, Derek D. (Northwestern University); Weihrauch, Andrea (KU Leuven)

38) A (partial) solution to the problem of flexibility in data analysis and researcher degrees of freedom
Dougherty, Michael R (University of Maryland); Tidwell, Joe W. (University of Maryland); Chrabaszcz, Jeffrey S. (University of Maryland); Thomas, Rick P (Georgia Institute of Technology)

39) Creation of the Graph Type Selection Information Quiz
Woller-Carter, Margo M. (Michigan Technological University); Cokely, Edward T. (MTU; Max Planck Inst. for Human Dev.); Garcia-Retamero, Rocio (University of Granada; Max Planck Inst. for Human Dev.)

40) Go for broke: The role of somatic states when asked to lose in the Iowa Gambling Task.
Wright, Rebecca J. (University of Essex); Russo, Riccardo (University of Essex)

41) How Do We Make The Decision To Stop? Stopping Rule Selection (SRS) Theory
Fific, Mario (Grand Valley State University)

42) Sixty Years Of Satisficing
Jacobs, Perke (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Artinger, Florian (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

43) Eliciting and Modeling Probability Forecasts of Event Dates and Other Continuous Quantities
Tidwell, Joe W. (University of Maryland); Wallsten, Tom W. (University of Maryland); Yang, Heather (Berkeley); Moore, Don A. (Berkeley)

44) Shallow Pockets, Kind Heart: The Effect of Perceived Personal Financial State on Prosocial Activity
Motsenok, Marina (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem); Ritov, Ilana (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem)

45) Multiple numeric competencies and their relation to financial, health, and scholastic decision outcomes
Tompkins, Mary Kate (The Ohio State University); Peters, Ellen (The Ohio State University)
46) Factors Influencing Surgeons’ Roles in Interactions with Their Patients
   Garcia-Retamero, Rocio (University of Granada); Cokely, Edward T. (Michigan Technological University); Wicki, Barbara (AO Clinical Investigation and Documentation, Zurich); Hanson, Beate (AO Clinical Investigation and Documentation, Zurich)

47) Individual Difference Measurement of Bias Susceptibility and Declarative Knowledge of Cognitive and Social Biases
   Zaromb, Franklin (Educational Testing Service); Gertner, Abigail (The MITRE Corporation); Schneider, Robert (Research & Assessment Solutions, Ltd.); Matthews, Gerald (University of Central Florida); Weeks, Jonathan (Educational Testing Service); Roberts, Richard D.

48) Bootstrapping the inner crowd, with confidence
   Phillips, Nathaniel D. (University of Konstanz); Herzog, Stefan (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Kaämmer, Julianneq (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Hertwig, Ralph (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

49) Predictors of Accuracy in Choosing a Healthcare Plan
   Hathaway, Andrew (University of Missouri); Shaffer, Victoria (University of Missouri)

50) Feeling tempted? The affective and performance benefits of workplace distractions
   Jachimowicz, Jon M. (Columbia Business School); Menges, Jochen I. (University of Cambridge, Judge Business School); Myrseth, Kristian O. R. (University of St Andrews)

51) Not the expected: The effect of exposure and disease likelihood on vaccination intentions
   Aharonov-Majar, Efrat (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Bereby-Meyer, Yoella (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev); Meyer, Joachim (Tel-Aviv University)

52) Tipping the affective scales: Decision modes alter the integration of emotional information
   Reeck, Crystal (Columbia Business School, Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia Business School, Columbia University)

53) A Longitudinal Study of Responses to Potential Nuclear Meltdown
   Cui, Jinshu (University of Southern California); Rosoff, Heather (University of Southern California); John, Richard S (University of Southern California)

54) Individual Susceptibility to Anchoring Bias
   Rhodes, Rebecca (University of Michigan); Zaromb, Franklin (Educational Testing Service); Matthews, Gerald (University of Central Florida); Schneider, Robert (Research & Assessment Solutions, Ltd.); Gertner, Abigail (The MITRE Corporation); Roberts, Richard D.

   Petushek, Erich J. (Michigan Technological University); Cokely, Edward T. (Michigan Technological University); Ward, Paul (University of Greenwich); Myer, Gregory D. (Cincinnati Children’s Hospital); Wallace, Sean (Illinois Institute of Technology)

56) Individual Differences in Working Memory Capacity, Fuzzy Processing Preference, and Conjunction Fallacies
   Weil, Audrey M (Miami University); Wolfe, Christopher R (Miami University)

57) Robust rationality: Alcohol-intoxicated decision makers do not violate fundamental property of rational choice
   Davis-Stober, Clintin (University of Missouri); McCarthy, Denis (University of Missouri); Cavagnaro, Daniel (California State University at Fullerton); Price, Mason (University of Missouri); Brown, Nicholas (University of Missouri)

58) Political Ideology and Inter-Generational Allocations of Benefits and Burdens: Not All That Glitters is Gold
   Nitis, Tina (Manhattan College, School of Business); Arora, Poonam (Manhattan College, School of Business)

59) Effects of self-imposed scarcity on decision making
   Salari Rad, Mostafa (New School for Social Research); Ginges, Jeremy (New School for Social Research)

60) The conjunction fallacy is stable across repeated probability and frequency judgments
   Andersson, Linus (Department of psychology, Umeå University); Israellsson, Maria (Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University); Karlsson, Linnea (Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University); Jaslin, Peter (Department of psychology, Uppsala University); Stillesjö, Sara (Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University); Eriksson, Johan, Department of Integrative Medical Biology, Umeå University
61) How to improve quality of HIV counselling by means of natural frequencies
   Prinz, Roman (Max-Planck Institute for Human Development); Feufel, Markus (Charité University of Medicine/MPI for Human Development); Gigerenzer, Gerd (Max-Planck Institute for Human Development); Wegwarth, Odette (Max-Planck Institute for Human Development)

62) The Effect of the Menstrual Cycle on Consumer Responses to Genetically Modified Food
   Liu, Maggie Wenjing (Tsinghua University); Chen, Rui (Tsinghua University); Guan, Yuhong (Tsinghua University)

63) Distracted by donuts? The cognitive strain of calorie counting may undermine focus and work performance
   Chabot, Aimee (University of California, San Diego); Bryan, Christopher J. (University of California, San Diego); Mullainathan, Sendhil (Harvard University); Shafir, Eldar (Princeton University)

64) Disgust-based moralization of genetic modification technology
   Scott, Sydney E. (University of Pennsylvania); Inbar, Yoel (University of Toronto); Rozin, Paul (University of Pennsylvania)

65) The Coffee Shop Effect: Others’ Mere Presence Spurs Creativity
   Chou, Eileen (University of Virginia); Nordgren, Loran (Northwestern University)

66) Using Bayesian Hierarchical Estimates to Assess the Generalizability of Cognitive Models of Choice
   Scheibeheeme, Benjamin (Uni Basel); Pachur, Thorsten (Max Planck Institute)

67) Hierarchical-Bayesian Modeling of Description and Experience-Based Decisions: A Cumulative Prospect Theory Account
   Kellen, David (University of Basel); Pachur, Thorsten (MPI Berlin)

68) The Effects of Visual Attention on Risk Preferences
   Long, Andrew R. (University of Colorado, Boulder)

69) Who will I be tomorrow? The effect of expected personal change on future-oriented behaviors and feelings about the future self
   Molouki, Sarah (University of Chicago); Bartels, Daniel M. (University of Chicago); Hershfield, Hal E. (New York University)

70) How forgetting affects rule- and exemplar-based judgments
   Hoffmann, Janina A. (University of Basel); von Helversen, Bettina (University of Basel); Rieskamp, Jörg (University of Basel)

71) The Effect of Generating Alternatives on Consumer Choice
   Friedman, Elizabeth (Yale SOM); Savary, Jennifer (Yale SOM); Dhar, Ravi (Yale SOM); Nowlis, Stephen (Olin Business School)

72) Management of Multiple Identities Matters: Identity Integration Predicts Indecisiveness in Identity-Relevant Decision-Making Tasks
   Hanek, Kathrin J. (University of Michigan); Lee, Fiona (University of Michigan)

73) Choosing your own wage: The impact of autonomy on motivation
   Chaudhry, Shereen J. (Carnegie Mellon University); Klinowski, David (University of Pittsburgh)

74) The Distinct Effects of Construal Level and Concrete Mindset on Subjective Time Perception
   Pew, Ethan (Stony Brook University); Buhrau, Denise (Stony Brook University)

75) Blind Haste: Restricted Visibility Increases Speeding in Traffic-Calmed Zones
   de Bellis, Emanuel (University of St.Gallen); Schulte-Mecklenbeck, Michael (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Brucks, Wernher (Traffic Division of the City of Zurich); Herrmann, Andreas (University of St.Gallen); Hertwig, Ralph (Max Planck Institute for Human Development)

76) The relationship between additivity neglect and the non-selective superiority bias
   Riege, Anine H. (University of Oslo); Teigen, Karl Halvor (University of Oslo)

77) When Do Simple Heuristics Perform Well in Multi-Attribute Choice and Why?
   Katsikopoulos, Konstantinos (MPI for Human Development)
78) Measuring subjective probability: Scale sensitivity and context dependency vary as a function of regression to the mean  
Haase, Niels (University of Erfurt, Germany); Betsch, Tilmann (University of Erfurt, Germany)

79) Prosocial Lies: When deception breeds trust  
Levine, Emma E. (The University of Pennsylvania); Schweitzer, Maurice E. (The University of Pennsylvania)

80) After the nudge: Effects of decision architecture on behavioral spillover  
Markowitz, Ezra M. (University of Massachusetts Amherst); Crookes, Raymond D. (Columbia University); Weber, Elke U. (Columbia University)

81) The Psychology of Humblebragging  
Sezer, Ovul (Harvard Business School); Gino, Francesca (Harvard Business School); Norton, Michael (Harvard Business School)

82) Individual differences in time perception can lead to distortions in task performance time predictions  
Løhre, Erik (Simula Research Laboratory and University of Oslo); Halkjelvik, Torleif (SIRUS, Norwegian Institute for Alcohol and Drug Research)

83) The Groucho Marx effect - Prestigious groups’ judgments decline post-acceptance  
Peer, Eyal (Bar-Ilan University); Gamiel, Eyal (Ruppin Academic Center)

84) Leadership perceptions and legitimacy’s effect on group outcomes  
Selden, Mary E. (University of Georgia); Goodie, Adam S. (University of Georgia)

85) When Wealth Increases Taxation Satisfaction  
Wispinski, Nathan J. (University of British Columbia); Whillans, Ashley V. (University of British Columbia); Dunn, Elizabeth W. (University of British Columbia)

86) The Impact of Feature Information on the Perceived Value of New Features: The Role of Product Familiarity  
Goyal, Vikas (Indian Institute of Management Indore)

87) Social Learning in Categorization  
Puskaric, Marin (University of Basel); von Helversen, Bettina (University of Basel); Rieskamp, Jörg (University of Basel)

88) Psychological Ownership and Loss Aversion as Determinants of Sunk Cost Effects  
Dickert, Stephan (WU Vienna University of Economics & Business); Kamleitner, Bernadette (WU Vienna University of Economics & Business); Süssenbach, Sophie (WU Vienna University of Economics & Business); Geveze, Erdem (WU Vienna University of Economics & Business)

89) The role of interactive visual-aids in communicating effect size statistics  
Zhang, Yuyan (Bowling Green State University); Zhang, Don (Bowling Green State University); Highhouse, Scott (Bowling Green State University)

90) The Early Number Effect: The use of numbers as a proxy for distance from the creative origin of an object  
Dhar, Ravi (Yale University); Newman, George E. (Yale University); Smith, Rosanna K. (Yale University)

91) What cues children and adults retrieve from memory when making inferences  
Ruggeri, Azzurra (MPIB, Berlin and UC Berkeley); Morais, Ana Sofia (MPIB Berlin)

92) Status and Empathy: Modulating Factors of Cooperative Behaviors?  
LV, Jieyu (Queen Mary University of London); Proulx, Michael J. (University of Bath); Osman, Magda (Queen Mary University of London)

93) A study of risky decision making in major depressive disorder  
Nagrani, Sohan (Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute); Chung, Dongil (Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute); Chiu, Pearl (Virginia Tech Carilion Research Institute)

94) A random utility model of delay discounting and its application to people with externalizing psychopathology  
Dai, Junyi (Indiana University, Bloomington); Gunn, Rachel L. (Indiana University, Bloomington); Gerst, Kyle R. (Indiana University, Bloomington); Busemeyer, Jerome R. (Indiana University, Bloomington); Finn, Peter R. (Indiana University, Bloomington)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Don’t Switch Minds: It Increases the Appeal of Wants over Shoulds</td>
<td>Jin, Yan (Zhejiang University); Daisuan, Xu (Shanghai Jiaotong University); Yelian, Fan (Tsinghua University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Testing the automaticity of valence</td>
<td>McElroy, Todd (Florida Gulf Coast University); Stroh, Nathan (Appalachian State University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Conflict detection in the conjunction fallacy: An eye-tracking study</td>
<td>Faure-Bloom, Jenny (Kingston University); Mannan, Sabira (Kingston University); Vallée-Tourangeau, Gaëlle (Kingston University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Comparing self-report and process-tracing data within a Multialternative Decision Field Theory (MDFT) framework</td>
<td>Zhang, Ruohai (Miami University); Johnson, Joseph (Miami University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Macro Tracking while Attentively Attacking the Packaging</td>
<td>Jackson, Marc D. (Auburn University); Franco-Watkins, Ana M. (Auburn University); Weierbach, Gabby (Auburn University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Graph literacy and processing of health communications: Evidence from eye-tracking</td>
<td>Okan, Yasmina (University of Leeds); Galesic, Mirta (Max Planck Institute for Human Development); Garcia-Retamero, Rocio (University of Granada)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>A closer look at decision making under time pressure</td>
<td>Johnson, Joseph G. (Miami University; Hanse Wissenschaftskolleg); Zhou, Xiaolei (Miami University); Koop, Gregory J. (Syracuse University); Franco-Watkins, Ana M. (Auburn University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>A closer look at decision making under time pressure</td>
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