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Abstract
While political experts have long claimed that bad weather lowers voter turnout, the impact of weather on U.S. election outcomes remains unclear. The most rigorous work to date found that precipitation benefits Republicans and suggested that Florida rains influenced the outcome of the 2000 presidential election, but a more recent analysis finding that precipitation only lowers turnout in uncompetitive election states calls this claim into question. Here, we reanalyze the 1972-2000 U.S. presidential elections with a focus on supporters of non-major party candidates, an oft-overlooked contingency. We propose that bad weather affects election outcomes not through its effect on turnout—as has long been assumed—but rather, through its psychological effect on swing voters. Specifically, we find evidence that bad weather increases regret aversion among supporters of non-major party candidates in competitive elections, leading some to instead vote for their preferred two-party candidate.

Background
• Since at least the 1800s, the press has claimed that weather affects voter turnout [1], but only in recent years have researchers been able to analyze the impact of weather on election outcomes with rigorous empirical methods
• Gomez, Hanford and Krause [2] found that Republican vote share increases with bad weather
• This finding has been called into question by Fraga and Hersh [3] who found that while rain lowers turnout on average, it does not lead to lower turnout in competitive election states (see Figure 1 below).

Our Theory
Rain impacts voting behavior not only through its effect on turnout, but also through its effect on voters’ choices at the polls
• Pleasant weather (e.g., sunshine, low humidity, high barometric pressure) is associated with better mood, better memory, and broadened cognitive style [4-7], which is associated with optimism bias and risk seeking behavior [8-9]
• In contrast, bad weather is associated with negative mood [10], which is associated with pessimism and risk aversion [11]
• The effect of weather on mood has been shown to have significant real world outcomes, affecting everything from consumer reviews of restaurants [12] to stock market returns [13]
• In the context of political behavior, sunshine has been shown to lead to higher approval ratings of the president [14], and at least one laboratory experiment found that it had weather depressed mood and risk tolerance, increasing the likelihood that voters desire candidates who are less risky [15]

More precisely, we theorize that inclement weather in competitive election contexts increases regret aversion among supporters of non-major party candidates, leading some to instead vote for their preferred major-party candidate.

Methods
• We follow closely in the methodological tradition of Gomez et al [2] and Fraga and Hersh [3]
• Both research efforts utilized a novel dataset created by Gomez et al [2], who painstakingly matched meteorological data from over 22,000 U.S. weather stations to more than 3,000 U.S. county voting results
• They accomplished this by first dividing the country into micro cells of 4,000 m² (less than an acre), then estimating the rainfall and snowfall in each micro cell with data from nearby weather stations and, finally, determining county-level estimates of rainfall and snowfall from those micro cell totals (see Figure 2, below)
• The dataset also includes a set of control variables for average rainfall, income, and more

Results
In support of our theory, we test two main hypotheses:
1) Precipitation leads to decreased turnout for non-major party candidates and more so in competitive election states than in uncompetitive election states (Figure 3)
   • If precipitation has no effect on voters’ choice at the polls, then we should expect changes in turnout for non-major party candidates associated with precipitation to be similar in uncompetitive and competitive election states
2) Precipitation in competitive election states leads to increased turnout for the major party candidate that is closest ideologically to the leading non-major party candidate (Figures 4 & 5)
   • It is difficult to explain how rain could lead to increased turnout for any party unless rain affects voters’ choices
   • A look at the most significant non-major party candidates over the period we analyze helps to clarify this hypothesis further: Ross Perot in 1992 and 1996, Ross Paul in 1988, and John Anderson in 1980, all had strong conservative credentials.

Results: The 2000 Election
In 2000, unlike the other years of our sample, the major third party candidate was a progressive – Ralph Nader – who famously “spoiled” the election for Democratic candidate Al Gore. In support of hypothesis 2, we find that the year saw the lowest rainfall amount in Florida that year, not less.

Discussion
Political scientists have long considered how inclement weather affects election outcomes, but they have assumed that it does so through increasing the cost of showing up to the polls. Here, by turning to the psychology literature, we have drawn attention to the effect of inclement weather on a second behavior: voters’ decisions once they arrive at the polls.

Our results suggest that the psychological effect of weather on voters’ choices plays a larger role in impacting election outcomes that the effect of weather on turnout because voter turnout is resilient to inclement weather in competitive election states.

Furthermore, our results show that while rain historically tends to benefit Republicans, the effect of rain on voter behavior is more complex than initially thought. According to our analysis, the beneficiary of rain varies in any given election year depending on the ideology of the leading non-major party candidate.

Our central contribution is the recognition that rain impacts voter psychology—particularly in competitive election contexts—in addition to the cost of voting, and doing so may have substantive impacts on election outcomes.
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